

1 **PERKINS COIE LLP**
 BOBBIE J. WILSON (Bar No. 148317)
 2 JOSHUA A. REITEN (Bar No. 238985)
 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
 3 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131
 Telephone: (415) 344-7000
 4 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
 E-mail: bwilson@perkinscoie.com

5
 6 DEBRA R. BERNARD (*Pro hac vice*)
 131 S. Dearborn St., Suite 1700
 Chicago, IL 60603
 7 Telephone: (312) 324-8559
 Facsimile: (312) 324-9559
 8 E-mail: dbernard@perkinscoie.com

9 Attorneys for Defendants
 GOOGLE INC. and SLIDE, INC.

10
 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 OAKLAND DIVISION

15 NICOLE PIMENTAL and JESSICA
 FRANKLIN, individually and on behalf of
 16 all others similarly situated,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,
 and SLIDE, INC., a Delaware corporation,

20 Defendants.

Case No. 11-cv-02585-SBA

**DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION FOR AN ORDER STRIKING
 PLAINTIFFS' BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

Place: Courtroom 1, 4th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Sandra Brown Armstrong

21
 22 This Document Relates to All Actions.

1 By this Administrative Motion, Defendants respectfully request that the Court enter an
2 order striking, or in the alternative disregarding the excessive pages of, Plaintiffs' overlong brief
3 in opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss the Consolidated Class Action Complaint (Dkt.
4 40), on the grounds that it violates this Court's Standing Orders effective July 1, 2011 regarding
5 maximum page limits. *See* Dkt. 10 at 5.

6 *****

7 On August 22, 2011, the Court filed and served a copy of its Standing Orders. *See*
8 Dkt. 10. Among those orders is a page limitation for briefs: "All noticed motions (other than
9 motions for summary judgment) and any opposition thereto, shall not exceed **fifteen (15) pages**
10 in length, exclusive of the table of contents, table of authorities, exhibits and declarations, if
11 required." *Id.* at 5 (emphasis in original).

12 On October 14, 2011, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Consolidated Class
13 Action Complaint. Dkt. 29. In accordance with this Court's Standing Orders regarding
14 maximum page limits, Defendants limited the brief supporting that motion to 15 pages in length.

15 On November 11, 2011, Plaintiffs filed their opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss.
16 Dkt. 40. Plaintiffs could have sought leave to file an overlong brief or limited their brief to 15
17 pages. Instead, in violation of the Court's Standing Orders, Plaintiffs filed a brief that spans 23
18 pages (excluding tables of contents and authorities).

19 Plaintiffs' filing of a brief that is overlong by eight pages—not a *de minimus* ½ or one
20 page (in which case Defendants would not have bothered the Court with a motion such as this)—
21 warrants that the Court strike the brief or disregard the excess pages. *See* Dkt. 10 at 5 ("[f]ailure
22 to comply with this Order or the Local Rules of this Court may result in sanctions"); *cf. Wheeler*
23 *v. Chertoff*, No. 08-cv-1738 SBA, 2009 WL 2157548, *2 n.1 (N.D. Cal. Jul. 17, 2009) (observing
24 that party's brief exceeded the maximum page limit in violation of Local Rules and cautioning
25 "that *the Court will not consider briefs that fail to comport with the Federal Rules of Civil*
26 *Procedure, Local Rules or the Standing Orders of this Court*") (emphasis added). Defendants
27 therefore respectfully request that the Court strike Plaintiffs' opposition brief in its entirety, or,
28

1 alternatively, strike and refuse to consider the final eight pages of the brief.

2

3 DATED: December 2, 2011

PERKINS COIE LLP

4

5

By: /s/ Bobbie J. Wilson
BOBBIE J. WILSON

6

Attorneys for Defendants
GOOGLE INC. and SLIDE, INC.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28