EXHIBIT 7

Dockets.Justia.com

1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6		
7	BRIAN GLAUSER,	
8	Plaintiff(s),	No. C 11-2584 PJH
9	V.	ORDER
10	TWILIO, INC. , et al.,	
11	Defendant(s).	1
12		/
13	Before the court is plaintiff's notice of decision by the Federal Communications	
14	Commission ("FCC") in which he requests that the court lift the stay imposed pursuan	
15	the primary jurisdiction doctrine on January 27, 2012, at least as to defendant Group	

osed pursuant to ndant GroupMe. Defendant construes the "notice" as a motion for administrative relief and opposes it. For 16 17 the reasons advanced by GroupMe, the court is not inclined to lift the stay as to one defendant but not the other, and accordingly DENIES the motion. Additionally, because 18 19 one of the reasons for the stay pertains to the liability of co-defendant Twilio and the 20 petition on that issue still remains before the FCC, the stay remains in effect. If GroupMe's 21 petition for expedited declaratory ruling and clarification is acted on during the period of the 22 stay, the parties shall bring it to the court's attention; however, the instant ruling is not 23 based on GroupMe's filing of the subsequent petition. For now, the stay remains based 24 solely on the remaining of the two grounds for its initial imposition.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: March 15, 2012

25

27

28

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

United States District Court For the Northern District of California