| Jamal v. NAR Group, Inc.                           |          |   |
|--|----------|---|
|  |          |   |
|  |          |   |
|  | 1        |   |
|  | 2        |   |
|  | 3        |   |
|  | 4        |   |
|  | 5        |   |
|  | 6        |   |
|  | 7        |   |
|  | 8        | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |
|  | 9        | Northern District of California   |
|  | 10       | Oakland Division  |
| TATES DISTRICT COURT rthern District of California | 11       | DEZETTIA JAMAL, No. C 11-02852 LB   |
|  | 12       | Plaintiff, ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL V. CASE MANAGEMENT  |
|  | 13       | CONFERENCE<br>NAR GROUP, INC.,  |
| RICT (   | 14<br>15 | Defendant.  |
| DIST   | 16       | Plaintiff Dezettia Jamal states that she served Defendant NAR Group, Inc. on October 25, 2011,            |
| TES ]<br>ern D                                     | 17       | but that Plaintiff is attempting to determine the viability of Defendant before using the court's         |
| STA  | 18       | resources in seeking a default judgment Plaintiff's Case Management Conference Statement, ECF             |
| UNITED ST  | 19       | No. 13 at 2. Given this representation, that no proof of service has been filed, and that Defendant       |
|  | 20       | has not yet appeared in this action or consented to this court's jurisdiction, the court <b>CONTINUES</b> |
|  | 21       | the initial case management conference from January 12, 2012 to March 8, 2012.                            |
|  | 22       | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
|  | 23       | Dated: January 9, 2012  |
|  | 24       | LAUREL BEELER   |
|  | 25       | United States Magistrate Judge  |
|  | 26       |   |
|  | 27       |   |
|  | 28       |   |
|  |          |   |
|  |          | C 11-02852 LB<br>ORDER CONTINUING CMC   |