

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
5 OAKLAND DIVISION

6 GREGORY R. RAIFMAN and SUSAN  
7 RAIFMAN, husband and wife, individually  
8 and on behalf of their marital community and  
9 as Trustees of the RAIFMAN FAMILY  
10 REVOCABLE INTERVIVOS TRUST and as  
beneficiaries of the PALLADIAN TRUST;  
GEKKO HOLDINGS, LLC, and HELICON  
INVESTMENTS, LTD.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 vs.

13 WACHOVIA SECURITIES, LLC, N/K/A  
14 WELLS FARGO ADVISORS, LLC,

15 Defendant.

Case No: C 11-02885 SBA

**ORDER**

Docket 139, 141, 144, 145

16 The parties are presently before the Court on Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion to File  
17 Amendment to Second Amended Complaint by Interlineation under Rule 15 of the Federal  
18 Rules of Civil Procedure. Dkt. 144. Plaintiffs offer no authority in support of amending  
19 the second amended complaint by interlineation. Rule 15 permits a party to amend or  
20 supplement a pleading. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 15. "Amended pleadings relate to matters that  
21 occurred prior to the filing of the original pleading and entirely replace the earlier pleading.  
22 Supplemental pleadings deal with events subsequent to the pleading to be altered and  
23 represent additions to or continuations of the earlier pleadings." Brook v. Sing, 2012 WL  
24 78417, at \*1 n. 1 (E.D. Cal. 2012) (citing Wright, Miller & Kane, Federal Practice &  
25 Procedure, Chp. 4, § 1504 (3d ed.) (2011)); Frederick v. California Dept. of Corrections  
26 and Rehabilitation, 2012 WL 2077305, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. 2012).

27 In the instant motion, Plaintiffs do not seek to supplement their pleading by adding  
28 facts relating to events taking place after the filing of the second amended complaint.

1 Instead, they seek to file an amended complaint under Rule 15(a)(2). As such, the Court  
2 construes Plaintiffs' motion as a motion to file a third amended complaint. Under Rule 15  
3 (a)(2), "a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or  
4 the Court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires."  
5 Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2). "This policy is to be applied with extreme liberality." Eminence  
6 Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc., 316 F.3d 1048, 1051 (9th Cir. 2003). Here, because  
7 Plaintiffs have obtained Defendant's written consent to amend the second amended  
8 complaint, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion. Plaintiffs shall file a third amended  
9 complaint no later than seven (7) days from the date this Order is filed. Because an  
10 amended complaint supersedes the previously filed complaint in its entirety, see Forsyth v.  
11 Humana, Inc., 114 F.3d 1467, 1474 (9th Cir. 1997), overruled in part on other grounds,  
12 Lacey v. Maricopa County, 693 F.3d 896 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc), Defendant's motion to  
13 strike the second amended complaint, Dkt. 139, and motion to dismiss the second amended  
14 complaint, Dkt. 141, are DENIED as MOOT. This Order terminates Docket 139, Docket  
15 141, Docket 144, and Docket 145.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: 4/29/13

  
SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG  
United States District Judge

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28