## Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UMME-HANI KHAN,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

vs.

ABERCROMBIE & FITCH STORES, INC. d/b/a HOLLISTER CO., HOLLISTER CO. CALIFORNIA, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No.: 11-cv-03162-YGR

ORDER SETTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT SCHEDULE

The Court has reviewed the parties' joint and respective filings regarding motions for summary judgment. (Dkt. Nos. 88–94.) The Court hereby sets the following schedule and page limits for cross-motions for summary judgment:

Plaintiffs' (combined) opening motion:	April 11, 2013	25 pages
Defendants' opposition/cross-motion:	April 25, 2013	25 pages
Plaintiffs' reply:	May 9, 2013	15 pages
Defendants' sur-reply:	May 23, 2013	15 pages
Hearing:	June 18, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.	

The Court will require that the parties file a joint statement of undisputed facts in a chart format. (See Standing Order in Civil Cases at Section 9.c.) The parties are ordered to further meet and confer on facts that can be jointly-stipulated for these motions. There is no page limit on the joint statement. The supporting evidence must be submitted in compliance with Civ. L.R. 7.5 and citations

to the supporting evidence shall include, at a minimum, references to the declaration and corresponding exhibit—e.g., Smith Decl., Ex. A (Johnson Dep. 1:1-2:1). The Court will waive the requirement of separate statements by the parties.

By noon of the second business day after each filing, the parties shall provide the Court with chambers copies of the filings in binders with tabs separating each document and an index. The Court requests that each document be printed from ECF, such that the documents bear the ECF header reflecting docket item number and filing date. The Court also requests double-sided pages.

The parties are reminded that while out-of-circuit authority may be persuasive, this Court is bound by Ninth Circuit authority. The parties are advised to focus their briefings on relevant Ninth Circuit authority.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 4, 2013