Stipulation to Amend Answer FDIC (Indymac Bank) v. Straub Case No. C11-03295 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.	Plaintiff filed its Complaint for breach of contract and negliger
misrepresen	tations on July 6, 2011.

2. Defendant filed his Answer to the Complaint on September 30, 2011. In the Answer, Defendant's Eleventh Affirmative Defense alleged a complaint of comparative negligence. The Eleventh Affirmative Defense reads as follows:

The damages sustained by plaintiff were either wholly or in part negligently caused by and/or the fault of persons, firms, corporations, or entities other than this answering defendant, and said negligence and/or fault, comparatively reduces the percentage of negligence and/or fault, if any, by this answering defendant. More specifically, Plaintiff's contributory or comparative negligence in the underwriting of the subject loan caused or contributed to Plaintiff's claimed damages relating to the approval of the subject loan. Additionally, Plaintiff relied on the acts and representations of the mortgage broker to underwrite the loan and its damages are either in whole or in part negligently caused by the mortgage broker.

- The FDIC contends that Defendant's Eleventh Affirmative Defense 3. for comparative fault/negligence does not apply to the claims asserted in the Complaint -- i.e., breach of contract or negligent misrepresentation. The FDIC has filed a Motion to Strike the Eleventh Affirmative Defense which is set for hearing on March 6, 2012.
- Prior to filing the Motion to Strike, the Parties met and conferred regarding the sufficiency of the defense. Defendants' agreed the Eleventh Affirmative Defense does not apply to breach of contract action. However, Defendants argue that the Eleventh Affirmative Defense for comparative fault/negligence can be asserted in response to a claim for negligent misrepresentations.
- Therefore, in the interest of judicial economy, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree that the Eleventh Affirmative Defense shall not apply to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ŀ	reach of	contract	claim	and	chall	he	amended	to	state
	исасы от	COMBINACA	CIAIIII	411111	SHAH	111	a	111	SIGIL

The damages sustained by plaintiff as to the negligent misrepresentation claim for relief were either wholly or in part negligently caused by and/or the fault of persons, firms, corporations, or entities other than this answering defendant, and said negligence and/or fault, comparatively reduces the percentage of negligence and/or fault, if any, by this answering defendant. More specifically, Plaintiff's contributory or comparative negligence in the underwriting of the subject loan caused or contributed to Plaintiff's claimed damages from the negligent misrepresentation claims for relief relating to the approval of the subject loan. Additionally, Plaintiff relied on the acts and representations of the mortgage broker to underwrite the loan and its damages are either in whole or in part negligently caused by the mortgage broker.

The Parties continue to disagree about whether the defense can be 6. applied to a claim for negligent misrepresentation. As such, the Parties stipulate and agree that the FDIC's Motion to Strike the Eleventh Affirmative Defense as it relates to the FDIC's claim for negligent misrepresentation shall remain on calendar and the issue should be decided by this Court on March 6, 2012 as noticed.

IT IS SO STIPULATED

DATED: October 25, 2011 ANDERSON, McPHARLIN & CONNERS LLP

> Bv: /s/ Jennifer S. Muse Vanessa H. Widener Jennifer S. Muse Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION as Receiver for INDYMAC BANK, F.S.B.

		D. 1777 0 1 . 2 . 2011	A ANY OFFICE OF PETER AT PREMIER						
	1	DATED: October 26. 2011	LAW OFFICE OF PETER N. BREWER						
	2								
	3		Bv: /s/ Henry Chuang						
	5		Bv: /s/ Henry Chuang Julia M. Wei Henry Chuang Attorneys for Defendant RICHARD STRAUB						
	6		Attorneys for Defendant RICHARD STRAUB						
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.							
	11		•						
ver	12	DATED:10/28/11	- Sambre B. Ormstrag						
. Brewer d Fl.	13		SANDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						
ter N vard, 2 ⁿ vard, 2 ⁿ vard, 2 ⁿ vard, 2 ⁿ	14		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						
Law Offices of Peter N. B 2501 Park Boulevard, 2 ¹⁴ FI Palo Alto, CA 94306 650-327-2900	15								
ffices 501 Par Palo 66	16								
aw O	17								
_	18								
	19								
	20								
	21								
	22								
	23								
	2425								
	25 26								
	27								
	28								
	_0								
		908537.108503.1	4						

908537.108503.1 Stipulation to Amend Answer FDIC (Indymac Bank) v. Straub Case No. C11-03295