1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BENJAMIN ORTIZ,	No. C-11-03317-DMR
12	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND
13	v.	THE PLEADINGS
14	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	
15	Defendant(s).	
16		_
17	Plaintiff Benjamin Ortiz moves pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2) of the Federal Rule of Civil	
18	Procedure to amend his complaint. [Docket No. 17.] Defendants City and County of San Francisco	
19	do not oppose the motion. [Docket No. 18.] Rule 15 instructs that the court "should freely give	
20	leave [to amend] when justice so requires." Sullivan v. Aramark Uniform & Career Apparel, Inc.,	
21	No. C 11-02973 HRL, 2011 WL 5827220, at * 2 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2011) (brackets in original)	
22	(quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2)). The co	urt therefore grants Plaintiff's motion.
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	Dated: December 14, 2011	me
27		DONNA M. RYU
28		United States Magistrate Judge
	II	