

21 submitted no proposed verdict form. [Docket Nos. 47-48, 55.] The court therefore ORDERS the

22 parties to meet and confer, and to submit a *joint* proposed verdict form and *joint* proposed jury

instructions by June 7, 2012. If the court does not timely receive these documents, it will presumethat Plaintiff does not oppose Defendants' proposed verdict form.

The Order also commanded the parties to submit *two* sets of *premarked* and *tabbed* exhibits.
[Docket No. 14 at 5.] Plaintiff did not comply with these instructions. The court therefore
ORDERS Plaintiff to submit his exhibits in proper form by June 7, 2012.

28

| Furthermore, it appears from Plaintiff's pretrial submissions that he will not pursue of his claims, including his <i>Monnell</i> and state claims. The court ORDERS Plaintiff to file 7, 2012 a statement of claims that he intends to pursue at trial. Finally, the court ORDERS the parties to jointly submit a brief paragraph describing | e a number |
|--|-------------|
| 3 7, 2012 a statement of claims that he intends to pursue at trial. | |
| | e by June |
| 4 Finally, the court ORDERS the parties to jointly submit a brief paragraph describin | |
| | ng the case |
| 5 for the court to read to the jury as part of the preliminary jury instructions. | |
| 6 All filings shall be made in a searchable pdf format. | |
| 7 | |
| 8 IT IS SO ORDERED. 9 IT IS SO ORDERED | 123 |
| 9 5 IT 15 50 - | ⊴ |
| 10 Dated: June 5, 2012 11 Z 11 | L III |
| | |
| 12 13 DONNER Magistrate Judge DISTRICT OF | Z |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 25 | |
| 25 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |
| | |

United States District Court For the Northern District of California