

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 CRAIG K. MARTIN,

No. C 11-3601 CW

5 Plaintiff,

ORDER REQUIRING
PLAINTIFF TO FILE
PROOF OF TIMELY
SERVICE

6 v.

7 STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA, OFFICE
8 OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL STATE
9 BAR OF CALIFORNIA, LAWRENCE J.
10 DAL CERRO, Assistant Chief Trial
11 Counsel, ALLEN BLUMENTHAL,
12 Supervising Trial Counsel, WONDER
13 J. LIANG, Assigned Deputy Trial
14 Counsel, JOHN W. MATNEY,
15 Investigator, SUSAN CHAN, Deputy
16 Trial Counsel, RUSSELL G. WEINER,
17 Interim Chief Trial Counsel,
18 DONALD STEEDMAN, Supervising
19 Trial Counsel, ROBERT A. ENDRIES,
20 Deputy Trial Counsel, LUCY
21 ARMENDARIZ, Judge, SHERRIE B.
22 MCLETCHIE, MIKE A. NISPEROS, JR.,

23 Defendants.
24
25
26
27
28

17 _____/

18
19 Plaintiff Craig R. Martin has not filed proof of service on
20 Defendant Mike A. Nisperos, Jr. Plaintiff filed this complaint on
21 July 21, 2011. Thus, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m),
22 service of process on this Defendant was required to have been
23 perfected by November 18, 2011.

24 By December 2, 2011, Plaintiff shall file proof that
25 Plaintiff served Defendant Mike A. Nisperos, Jr. within the 120-
26 day period required by Rule 4(m). Alternatively, by December 2,
27 2011, Plaintiff may file a motion to extend time for service, so
28 long as Plaintiff can demonstrate good cause.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff's failure to comply with this Order will result in the dismissal of Plaintiff's claim against this Defendant for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/5/2011



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge