UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Richard Espino	oza			
		CASE NO. CV11-4014		
	Plaintiff(s),			
The Prudential America	V. Insurance Company of	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS		
	Defendant(s).			
	nsel report that they have met an ipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:		
The parties a	agree to participate in the follow	ing ADR process:		
Court Processes: □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) □ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)				
appreciably ADR phone	more likely to meet their needs	tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an s form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)		
Priv	ate Process: Private ADR (please identify	process and provider) JAMS, Michael Loeb		
The parties a	1	y: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)		
	other requested deadline			
Dated: Nov.	10, 2011_	//s/Peter S. Sessions Attorney for Plaintiff		
Dated: Nov.	10, 2011	//s/Linda M. Lawson Attorney for Defendant		

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

	Pursua	ant to the Stipulation above, the capti	tioned matter is hereby referred to:	
		Non-binding Arbitration		
		Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)		
		Mediation		
	Ø	Private ADR		
	Deadl	ine for ADR session		
		90 days from the date of this order.	<u>.</u>	
		other	TATES DISTRICT	
			TATE	-
IT IS	SO ORI	DERED.		\ \
			IT IS SO ORDERED	
Dated	: 11/1	5/11	IT IS SO OF	
			PHYLLIS UNITED S Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton	
			UNITED S V Unitallis I. Hamilton	1
			Judge Phyms J	