1

26

27

28

Date: January 22, 2015

2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 5 6 PROTECTIVE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, Case No.: 11-CV-04027 YGR 7 Plaintiff, ORDER RE PRE-FILING CONFERENCE REQUEST 8 v. Re: Dkt. Nos. 96, 98 9 CALVIN HARRIS, ET AL., 10 Defendants. 11 12 On January 14, 2015, defendant Cherie Cabral filed a letter requesting the Court schedule a 13 pre-filing conference in connection with a contemplated motion for summary judgment. (Dkt. No. 14 96.) On January 16, 2015, defendant Calvin Harris filed a response noting the January 14 letter did 15 not address the substantive basis for the planned motion and requesting an alternative date. (Dkt. No. 97.) On January 21, 2015, Cabral filed a response thereto, agreeing to the alternative date and 16 stating: "we . . . will timely file our letter brief seven days prior to the scheduled conference." (Dkt. 17 No. 98 at 2-3.) 18 Cabral's filings do not comply with this Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases, Paragraph 19 9(a). Those instructions require the filing of a letter brief, at least seven business days prior to the 20 proposed conference date, that explains the grounds for the motion in no more than three single-21 spaced pages. The Court will not set a date for a pre-filing conference until movant submits a proper 22 letter brief and the deadline for a response has passed. Therefore, the Court **DENIES WITHOUT** 23 **PREJUDICE** Cabral's requests that the Court schedule a pre-filing conference. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE