lusch	Doc
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	Northern District of California
10	Oakland Division
11	ANDY NICHOLAW, No. C 11-04245 LB
12	Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S v. MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO
13	SERVE SUBPOENA PETER BUSCH,
14	Defendant.
15	/
16	On October 13, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion to permission to serve Defendant with a subpoena
17	requiring Defendant to produce certain documents because Defendant had not responded to
18	Plaintiff's complaint. Motion, ECF No. 9. ¹ Defendant had not done so because Defendant had not
19 20	yet been served. Acknowledgment of Service, ECF No. 12 (Defendant served on October 21, 2011).
20	After he had, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss, which is currently set to be heard by the court on
21	January 5, 2012. MTD, ECF No. 13. In light of Defendant's motion, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.
22 23	This disposes of ECF No. 9. IT IS SO ORDERED.
23 24	Dated: November 21, 2011
2 4 25	LAUREL BEELER
25 26	United States Magistrate Judge
20 27	
28	¹ Citations are to the Electronic Case File ("ECF") with pin cites to the electronic page
	number at the top of the document, not the pages at the bottom.
	C 11-04245 LB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT For the Northern District of California