Doc. 27

WHEREAS, on October 24, 2011, plaintiffs filed their Verified Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint (the "Complaint");

WHEREAS, pursuant to the September 19, 2011 Stipulation and Order Consolidating Actions and Appointing Lead Counsel entered by the Court (Dkt. #15), Defendants have thirty days from the October 24, 2011 filing of the Complaint, or until November 23, 2011, to respond to the Complaint;

WHEREAS, due to intervening circumstances, including a death in the immediate family of one of Defendants' attorneys, Defendants have requested, subject to Court approval, that the deadline for Defendants to respond to the Complaint be extended until December 14, 2011, and plaintiffs have agreed to the requested extension;

WHEREAS, the parties have further agreed, subject to Court approval, that plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss shall be filed no later than January 31, 2012, and Defendants' reply memoranda shall be filed no later than March 1, 2012;

WHEREAS, the requested extension is not for the purpose of delay and will not prejudice any party;

WHEREAS, the parties have further met and conferred regarding applicable page-length requirements and have agreed that in the event that Defendants file a consolidated motion in response to the Complaint, rather than multiple, individual motions, Defendants shall have the option to file a single, consolidated motion not to exceed 50 pages, in lieu of filing separate 25-page briefs;

WHEREAS, in the event that Defendants file a single, consolidated motion in response to the Complaint, Plaintiffs shall have the option of filing a single, consolidated opposition brief of equal length;

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, subject to Court approval, as follows:

- 1. Defendants shall have until December 14, 2011 to respond to the Complaint;
- 2. Plaintiffs shall have until January 31, 2012 to file their brief(s) in opposition to Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss;

1	3. Defendants shall have until March 1, 2012 to file their reply brief(s);			
2	4. Defendants shall have the option to file a single, consolidated motion in response			
3	to the Complaint not to exceed <del>50</del> pages, in lieu of filing separate 25-page briefs; and			
4	5. In the event that Defendants file a single, consolidated motion, plaintiffs shall			
5	have the option of filing a single, consolidated opposition of equal length.			
6				
7	Dated: November 16	5, 2011	Respectfully submitted,	
8			WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation	
9			650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050	
10				
11			By: /s/ Elizabeth C. Peterson	
12			Elizabeth C. Peterson	
13			Attorneys for Defendants and Nominal Defendant Google Inc.	
14				
15	Dated: November 16	5, 2011	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	
16			DARREN J. ROBBINS TRAVIS E. DOWNS III	
17			BENNY C. GOODMAN III ERIK W. LUEDEKE	
18				
19 20			By: <u>/s/ Benny C. Goodman III</u> Benny C. Goodman III	
20			655 West Broadway, Suite 1900	
22			San Diego, CA 92101-3301 Telephone: 619/231-1058	
23			619/231-7423 (fax)	
24			ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	
25			SHAWN A. WILLIAMS Post Montgomery Center	
26			One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104	
27			Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)	
28			• •	
	STIPLII ATION AND	[DDODOSED]	2	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE MASTER FILE NO. CV-11-04248-PJH

1	ROBBINS UMEDA LLP BRIAN J. ROBBINS
2	FELIPE J. ARROYO SHANE P. SANDERS
3	GINA STASSI 600 B Street, Suite 1900
4	San Diego, CA 92101
5	Telephone: 619/525-3990 619/525-3991 (fax)
6	
7	POMERANTZ HAUDEK GROSSMAN & GROSS LLP MARC I. GROSS
8	JEREMY A. LIEBERMAN
9	JASON S. COWART FEI-LU QIAN
10	100 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017-5516
11	Telephone: 212/661-1100 212/661-8665 (fax)
12	POMERANTZ HAUDEK GROSSMAN
13	& GROSS LLP PATRICK V. DAHLSTROM
14	Ten South La Salle Street, Suite 3505 Chicago, IL 60603
	Telephone: 312/377-1181
15	312/377-1184 (fax)
16	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
17	
18	I, Elizabeth C. Peterson, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
19	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Benny C. Goodman III has concurred in this filing.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE MASTER FILE NO. CV-11-04248-PJH

## **ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: 11/17/11

U

THE ASMORABLE PHYLLIS - MAMLTON UNIVER STATES ORDERED OF JUdge Phyllis J. Hamilton Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton