1	TOMAS E. MARGAIN, (SBN 193555)		
	DAL BON & MARGAIN APC 28 NORTH 1 <sup>ST</sup> SUITE 700		
2	SAN JOSE, CA 95113 TEL (408) 297-4729		
3	FAX (408) 297-4728		
4	margainlaw@hotmail.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff JASON FLORES		
6	KASSRA P. NASSIRI (SBN 215405)		
7	MICHAEL P. DILLINGHAM (SBN 244086)		
8	NASSIRI & JUNG LLP 47 KEARNY ST., SUITE 700		
9	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108		
10	TEL: (415) 762-3100 FAX: (415) 534-3200		
11	knassiri@nassiri-jung.com		
	mdillingham@nassiri-jung.com		
12 13	Attorneys for Defendants MOUNIR KARDOSH and NAZARETH ENTERPRISES, INC.		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	JASON S. FLORES,	Case No.: CV11-04511 YRG	
17	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON STIPULATION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE WITH COURT TO RETAIN	
18	vs.	JURISDICTION FOR NINETY (90) DAYS; [ <del>PROPOSED] ORDER</del>	
19	MOUNIR KARDOSH; and NAZARETH		
20	ENTERPRISES, INC.		
21	Defendants.		
22	Plaintiff JASON S. FLORES and Defendants MOUNIR KARDOSH; and NAZARETH		
23	ENTERPRISES, INC.(collectively, "Defendants") through their attorneys of record hereby		
24	submit the following stipulation.		
25	submit the following supulation.		
26	1. The parties have executed a written settlement agreement which disposes of all		
27	claims in this matter;		
28			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS WITH	PREJUDICE 1	
	COURT TO RETAIN JURISDICTION	1	

Doc. 28

Dockets.Justia.com

Flores v. Kardosh et al

1	2. The settlement agreement calls for consideration to be paid over a sixty day		
2		period; and	
3	3.	The parties desire to have	ve this matter dismissed with prejudice but to have the
4		Court exercise jurisdicti	on over the settlement.
5			
6			
7		FOR PLAINTIFF	DAL BON & MARGAIN
8	DATED:	June 20, 2012	By: //s// Tomas E. Margain
9	Ditteb.	Julie 20, 2012	Tomas E. Margain
10			
11			
12		FOR DEFENDANTS	NASSIRI & JUNG LLP
13	DATED:	June 20, 2012	By: //s// Michael P. Dillingham
14			Michael P. Dillingham Attorneys for Defendants
15			MOUNIR KARDOSH and NAZARETH ENTERPRISES, INC
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24   25			
$\begin{vmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{vmatrix}$			
20 27			
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$			
-5			

1	ORDER
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND G
3	<b>ORDERED</b> that this case be Dismissed with prejud
4	of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the settle
5	ninety (90) days from the date of entry of this
6	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,
7	ORDERED.
8	La sur la
9	Dated: July 5, 2012
10	UNITE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## R

GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS HEREBY lice. However, the Court retains jurisdiction ement agreement for a period of no more s order.

AND GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO

NNE GONZALEZ ROGERS D STATES DISTRICT JUDGE