UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MANNIE JOEL	and MARTHA GREIST				
		CASE	NO. C 11-04520-SBA		
	Plaintiff(s),				
	v. MUTUAL INSURANCE ALLIED INSURANCE, Defendant(s).		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS		
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:					
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:					
Court Processes: □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5) Private Process: □ Private ADR (please identify process and provider)					
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)					
	other requested deadline				
Dated: 15/6	lu_		Attorney for Plaintiff		
Dated: 12/6/20	011		Attorney for Defendant		

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

	Pursua	ant to the Stipulation above, the captic Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR	ned matter is hereby referred to:
	Dondli	ne for ADR session	
		90 days from the date of this order.	
		other	
IT IS S	SO ORE	DERED.	
Dated:	1/18/1	12	- James B. Ormitag
			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE