ļ				
1	COOLEY LLP			
2	JOHN S. KYLE (CA 199196) jkyle@cooley.com			
3	4401 Eastgate Mall			
4	San Diego, California 92121 Telephone: (858) 550-6000			
5	Facsimile: (858) 550-6420			
	FRANK V. PIETRANTONIO (pro hac vice)			
6	CUDISTOPHED C. CAMPDELL (may be a miss)			
7	ccampbell@cooley.com)		
8	One Freedom Square 11951 Freedom Drive			
9	Reston, VA 20190-5656			
10	Telephone: (703) 456-8000 Facsimile: (703) 456-8100			
11	Attorneys for Defendants			
12	MILLENNIAL MEDIA, INC.			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14				
15	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICI OF CALIFOR	KNIA	
16				
17	STREETSPACE, INC.,	Case No. 3:10	-CV-01757-LAB-AJB	
18	Plaintiff,		ON OF NELSON KUAN IN MILLENNIAL MEDIA'S	
19	V.	OPPOSITION TO	STREETSPACE'S MOTION	
20	GOOGLE INC.; ADMOB, INC.;	ТО	DISQUALIFY	
21	MILLENNIAL MEDIA INC.; QUATTRO WIRELESS, INC.; NOKIA	Judge: Hearing Date:	Hon. Larry Alan Burns March 14, 2010	
	CORPORATION; NOKIA INC.; NAVTEQ	Time:	11:15 AM	
22	CORPORATION; MILLENIAL MEDIA, INC.; JUMPTAP, INC.; and DOES 1 through	Courtroom:	9, 2nd Floor	
23	20,	Action Filed:	August 23, 2010	
24	Defendants.			
25				
26				
27				
	ı			

DECLARATION OF NELSON KUAN IN SUPPORT OF MILLENNIAL MEDIA'S OPPOSITION TO STREETSPACE'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY

28

CASE No. 10-CV-1757-LAB-AJB

COOLEY LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

I, NELSON KUAN, declare:

- 1. I am counsel to Millennial Media in the case *Streetspace v. Google et al.*, Case No. 10-cv-1757-LAB-AJB. I am a member of the Virginia bar. I provide this declaration in support of Millennial Media's Opposition to Streetspace's motion to disqualify Mr. Campbell and Cooley LLP as counsel in this matter.
- 2. Cooley LLP has never represented Streetspace based on an internal conflicts search using "Streetspace" or "Street space." The only hit from the internal conflicts search involves Streetspace as the adverse party in the present matter where Cooley LLP is representing Millennial Media. A true and correct copy of an internal conflicts search using "Streetspace" or "Street space" is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 3. On March 6, 2002, Mr. Coddington signed and submitted to the Patent Office a Petition for Extension of Time for U.S. Patent Application 09/959,654 (the '654 application) from which U.S. Patent No. 6,847,969 was issued. The petition signed by Mr. Coddington shows the correspondence address of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison's ("Brobeck's") Washington D.C. office, and a true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 4. On March 21, 2002, Mr. Coddington signed and submitted to the Patent Office an Information Disclosure Statement for the '654 application. The statement signed by Mr. Coddington shows the correspondence address of Brobeck's Washington D.C. office, and a true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 5. On February 4, 2003, Mr. Coddington recorded with the Patent Office a patent assignment for the '654 application. The assignment recorded by Mr. Coddington shows the correspondence address of Hunton & Williams' ("Hunton's") Washington D.C. office, and a true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

6. On May 2, 2003, Mr. Coddington signed and submitted to the Patent Office a Change of Correspondence Address for the '654 application. The change of correspondence address signed by Mr. Coddington shows the correspondence address of Hunton's Washington D.C. office, and a true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

- 7. On February 26, 2004, Mr. Coddington signed and submitted to the Patent Office a Request for a Three-Month Extension of Time, a Response to Non-Final Office Action, and a Transmittal Letter for the '654 application. True and correct copies of the Request for a Three-Month Extension of Time, the Response to Non-Final Office Action, and the Transmittal Letter are attached hereto as Exhibits F, G and H, respectively.
- 8. On May 12, 2004, the Examiner at the Patent Office entered an Examiner Interview Summary Record for the '654 application listing the participants consisted only of Mr. Coddington and the Examiner. A true and correct copy of the Examiner Interview Summary Record is attached hereto as Exhibit I.
- 9. On June 29, 2004, Mr. Coddington signed and submitted to the Patent Office a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement for the '654 application. A true and correct copy of the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is attached hereto as Exhibit J.
- Amendment After Allowance Under 37 CFR § 1.312, an Issue Fee Payment Transmittal, a Request for Corrected Filing Receipt and Submission of Application Data Sheet, and a Resubmission of Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement for the '654 application. A true and correct copy of the Amendment After Allowance Under 37 CFR § 1.312, the Issue Fee Payment Transmittal, the Request for Corrected Filing Receipt and Submission of Application Data Sheet, and the Resubmission of Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement are attached hereto as Exhibit K, L, M, and N, respectively.

27

On November 16, 2005, Mr. Coddington signed and submitted to the Patent Office 11. a Request for Certificate of Correction of Office Mistake under 37 C.F.R. § 1.322. The petition signed by Mr. Coddington shows the correspondence address of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker's San Diego, CA office, and a true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit O.

A true and correct copy of the Transaction History of the '654 application from the 12. Patent Office PAIR system is annotated with the period during which Mr. Coddington was associated with Hunton, and with the submissions and interviews made by Mr Coddington on behalf of the '654 application. The annotated copy of the Transaction History of the '654 application is attached hereto as Exhibit P.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

4.

Dated: February 28, 2011

By: NELSON KUAN

24

25

26

ATTORNEYS AT LAW