

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FRANK PABST, an individual, on behalf
of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GENESCO, INC., a Tennessee
corporation; and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C 11-01592 (SI)

**STIPULATED [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER
GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
SHOULD BE RELATED**

[Civ. L.R. 3-12, 7-11]

Ctrm: 10, 19th Floor
Judge: Hon. Susan Illston

Complaint Filed: March 4, 2011
Trial Date: Not Set

On October 18, 2011, plaintiff Frank Pabst (“Plaintiff” or “Pabst”) and defendant Genesco, Inc. (“Genesco,” and jointly with Plaintiff, “the Parties”) filed a stipulated administrative motion to consider whether the following cases should be related pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11:

1. *Pabst v. Genesco, Inc.*, U.S. District Court in and for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. C 11-01592 (SI) (the “*Pabst* Action”); and
2. *Fraser v. Genesco, Inc.*, U.S. District Court in and for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division, Case No. C 11-04881 (LB) (the “*Fraser* Action,” and collectively with the *Pabst* Action, the “Actions”).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Having considered the papers submitted in support of the Parties' motion, the Court, for good cause shown, hereby **GRANTS** the motion.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the *Fraser* Action is related to the *Pabst* Action and is re-assigned to this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24 2011

By 

SUSAN ILLSTON
U.S. District Court Judge