

1 Brian B. Miles, Esq., SBN 191136
 2 Joel M. Westbrook, Esq., SBN 196578
 3 MILES & WESTBROOK
 4 1407 Oakland Blvd., Suite 107
 5 Walnut Creek, CA 94596
 6 Telephone: (925) 938-4500
 7 Facsimile: (925) 938-7007

8 Alan R. Plutzik, SBN 77785
 9 Robert M. Bramson SBN 102006
 10 BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAUSER, LLP
 11 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
 12 Walnut Creek, California 94598
 13 Telephone: (925) 945-0200
 14 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792

15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 18 OAKLAND DIVISION

19)	CASE NO. 11-5376-LB
20	MARK and AUREA SIMPSON, JEFF and)	
21	MOLLI FUNK, JOE NEITH and CINDY)	STIPULATION AND ORDER
22	GOODING, and MINDY NEWBERRY, on)	EXTENDING TIME FOR FILING
23	behalf of themselves and all others similarly)	OPPOSITION AND REPLY RE: MOTION
24	situated,)	TO COMPEL INDIVIDUAL
25)	ARBITRATION AND DISMISS OR STAY
26	Plaintiffs,)	PROCEEDINGS PENDING
27)	ARBITRATION
28	v.)	
29)	
30	PULTE HOME CORPORATION, a)	
31	corporation; PULTE MORTGAGE, LLC, a)	
32	limited liability corporation; and DOES 1)	
33	through 30, inclusive,)	
34)	
35	Defendants.)	
36)	
37)	
38)	

1 **STIPULATION**

2 Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2 and the Court’s Standing Order, Plaintiffs MARK and
3 AUREA SIMPSON, JEFF and MOLLI FUNK, JOE NEITH and CINDY GOODING, and
4 MINDY NEWBERRY, and others similarly situated (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendants PULTE
5 HOME CORPORATION and PULTE MORTGAGE, LLC (“Defendants”) have met and
6 conferred regarding a briefing schedule for the filing of an opposition and reply in connection
7 with Defendants’ Motion to Compel Individual Arbitration and Dismiss or Stay Proceedings
8 Pending Arbitration (“the Motion”), and hereby stipulate and agree, subject to Court approval, as
9 follows:

10 **WHEREAS**, Defendants filed the Motion on November 14, 2011;

11 **WHEREAS**, Defendants served a re-notice of the Motion on November 15, 2011,
12 indicating that the Motion is set to be heard on March 13, 2012;

13 **WHEREAS**, on November 21, 2011, Plaintiffs met and conferred with Defendants
14 regarding a mutually acceptable briefing schedule;

15 **WHEREAS**, Plaintiffs requested that Defendants agree to extend the deadlines for
16 opposing the Motion, and for replying to the opposition thereto, due to the complexity of the
17 issues, the fact that the Motion was not scheduled to be heard until March 13, 2012, and because
18 of Plaintiffs’ counsels' trial schedules;

19 **WHEREAS**, on November 25, 2011, Defendants granted Plaintiffs a one week extension
20 to file an opposition to the Motion pending an anticipated agreement on a briefing schedule;

21 **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between the parties,
22 through their undersigned counsel, that any opposition to the Motion shall be filed and served no
23 later than **January 31, 2012**, and that any reply to said opposition shall be filed and served no
24 later than **February 21, 2012**.

