UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| ANTHONY TOTAKO, | CASE NO. <u>11-cv-05446-PJH</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| v. LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL SECURITY, LLC | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| Defendant(s). | |
| Counsel report that they have met following stipulation pursuant to Civil L. | and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: |
| The parties agree to participate in the foll | owing ADR process: |
| appreciably more likely to meet their nee ADR phone conference and may not file ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Private Process: | (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for |
| | (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.) |
| Dated: 1/31/2012 | s/ Matthew Z. Crotty Attorney for Plaintiff |
| Dated: 1/31/2012 | s/ Jesse L. Miller Attorney for Defendant |

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/3/12



When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."