	Stipulation/Order: Related Case Page	e 1 of 3	Haynie v. Harris	
27 28	¹ Haynie v. Harris, Case No.: 3:10-CV-01255 SI was ordered consolidated with <i>Richards</i> v. Harris, Case No.: 3:11-CV-02493 SI, in an ordered filed on October 22, 2011. (See Documents # 42 and #15 respectively.)			
26				
25				
24	Defendants.			
23	(RP134) and DOES 1 TO 20,			
22	OF JUSTICE, CITY OF ROHNERT PARK, OFFICER DEAN BECKER	1 neu 110 vember 11, 2011		
21	and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT	Case No.: 3:11-CV-05580 Filed November 17, 2011	LB	
20	KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General of California (in her official capacity)	Richards, et al v. Harris,	$et \ al.$	
19		Proposed Related Case:		
18	vs.	ND CA Rule 3-12(b)		
17	Plaintiffs,	ND CA Rule 7-11	_	
16	FOUNDATION, INC.,	CONSIDER WHETHEI SHOULD BE RELATE	R CASES	
15	CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC., and THE SECOND AMENDMENT	STIPULATION and JO ADMINISTRATIVE MO		
14	BRENDAN JOHN RICHARDS, THE	3.11 3, 02		
13	MARK AARON HAYNIE,	Case No.: 3:10-CV-012 3:11-CV-024		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10				
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
8	E-Mail: Jason@CalGunLawyers.com			
7	Voice: (949) 310-0817 Fax: (949) 288-6894			
6	27281 Las Ramblas, Suite 200 Mission Viejo, CA 92691			
5	Jason A. Davis (SBN: 224250) Davis & Associates			
4	Email: Don@DKLawOffice.com			
3	Voice: (408) 264-8489 Fax: (408) 264-8487			
2	LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER, 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, California 95125-5120	A.P.C.		
1	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (SBN: 179986)	4 P. G		

Richards et al v. Harris et al

Doc. 8

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through		
2	their respective attorneys of record that a subsequent case is and ought to be related		
3	to this action.		
4	The subsequent case is Richards, et al., v. Harris, et al., Case No.: CV-11-5580		
5	LB. The case was filed on November 17, 2011. A true and correct copy of the		
6	Complaint without exhibits is attached. A Notice of Related Case was also filed in		
7	the subsequent case.		
8	The case sought to be related sets forth similar issues of law and fact set forth		
9	in the consolidated Second Amended Complaint in the current action. The only		
10	substantial difference would be the addition of Defendants: SONOMA COUNTY		
11	SHERIFFS' OFFICE and SHERIFFS' DEPUTY GREG MYERS.		
12	The Defendants, by and through undersigned counsel stipulate that the cases		
13	are related pursuant to Local Rule 3-12.		
14	The Plaintiffs, by and through undersigned counsel stipulate that the cases		
15	are related pursuant to Local Rule 3-12.		
16	A Case Management Conference is currently scheduled in the current matter		
17	for January 13, 2012 at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom 10, 19 th Floor, San Francisco. The		
18	parties herein respectfully suggest that the Court reset this conference to date in		
19	February, 2012.		
20	SO STIPULATED.		
21	Date: December 16, 2011 Date: December 16, 2011		
22	Ross Moody, S/Donald Kilmer		
23	Counsel for Defendants Harris & California Dept. of Justice Counsel for Plaintiffs		
24			
25	Date: December 16, 2011		
26	/s/ Robert W. Henkels County for Defendants City of Rohnert Park & Becker		
27			
28			

Page 2 of 3

Haynie v. Harris

Stipulation/Order: Related Case

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ATTESTATION FOR COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER 45 AND LOCAL RULE VIII.B.

I, Donald Kilmer, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California and the United States that I have in my possession e-mail correspondence from Ross Moody and Robert Henkels that the content of this document is acceptable to all persons required to sign the document. I declare that this document was signed in San Jose, CA on December 16, 2011

Donald Kilmer Attorney for Plaintiffs

FINDINGS AND ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and a review of the Complaint in Richards v. Harris, Case No.: CV 11-05580 LB, and the Amended Consolidated Complaint in this action, this Court finds that the matters are related under Local Rule 3-12.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to reassign Richards v. Harris, Case No.: CV 11-05580 LB to the same docket as Haynie v. Harris, Case No.: CV 10-1255 SI and Richards v. Harris, Case No.: CV-11-02493. All deadlines in the second Richards case are vacated and an Initial Case Management Conference is to be combined with the currently scheduled Case Management Conference in this matter set for January 13, 2012 at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom 10, 19th Floor, San Francisco.

Plaintiffs are directed to serve a copy of this Stipulation and Order on the remaining Defendants in Richards v. Harris, Case Number: 3:11-CV-05580 LB (the second *Richards* case).

Date: 12/19/11

United States District Judge