

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
APPLE INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. CV 11-06357-YGR
[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

APPLE INC.,
Counterclaim Plaintiff,
v.
ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Counterclaim Defendant.

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT
CASE NO. 11-cv-06357-YGR
sf-3274748

FINAL JUDGMENT

2 Pursuant to the Court’s Claim Construction Order construing claim terms of U.S. Patent
3 No. 6,411,941 (the “’941 patent”) and finding that the claims of the ’941 patent are not invalid for
4 indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2 with respect to the terms “volatile memory” and “non-
5 volatile memory,” and the Court’s Order Granting Apple Inc.’s Motion for Summary Judgment
6 finding that Apple Inc. has not infringed the ’941 patent, the Court ENTERS FINAL
7 JUDGMENT of:

8 (1) non-infringement of the '941 patent and
9 (2) non-indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2 with respect to the terms “volatile
10 memory” and “non-volatile memory.”

11 The Court also DISMISSES without prejudice Apple’s defenses and counterclaims,
12 except for those concerning indefiniteness under § 112, ¶ 2 with respect to the terms “volatile
13 memory” and “non-volatile memory,” subject to Apple’s right to revive those defenses and
14 counterclaims in the event of a remand.

15 The parties reserve their rights to challenge any constructions of the disputed claim terms
16 of the '941 patent on appeal.

17 This is a final, appealable judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 29, 2013

Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
United States District Court Judge