Webster Proctor v		Nike Retail Services, Inc. et al		c 14
	1			
	2			
	3			
United States District Court Northern District of California	4			
	5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	7			
	8			
	9		Case No.: 11-CV-6711 YGR	
	10	WEBSTER PROCTOR, on behalf of himself	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS AS	
	11	and all others similarly situated,	Моот	
	12	Plaintiff,		
	13	VS.		
	14	NIKE RETAIL SERVICES, INC., et al.,		
	15	Defendants.		
	16			
Un Nort	17	On February 22, 2012, Defendants Nike Inc., Nike Retail Services, Inc. and Nike USA, Inc.		
	18	filed their Motion to Dismiss or Strike Portions of Complaint Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil		
	19	Procedure 12(b)(6) and 12(f) (Dkt. No. 10).		
	20	On March 14, 2012, Plaintiff filed an amended complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil		
	21	Procedure 15(a)(1(B). In light of the filing of the amended complaint, the Motion to Dismiss is		
	22	DENIED as moot. The hearing set for April 3, 2012, is VACATED.		
	23	It Is So Ordered.		
	24		1 4 0 11.	
	25	March 19, 2012	YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS	
	26		United States District Court Judge	
	27			
	28			