

1 JANET M. HEROLD
 Regional Solicitor
 2 DAVID M. KAHN
 Counsel for Employment Standards
 3 LEON PASKER
 Hawaii State Bar No. 8505
 4 Senior Trial Attorney
 ROSE DARLING
 5 Trial Attorney
 California State Bar No. 243893
 6 United States Department of Labor
 Office of the Solicitor
 7 90 7th Street, Suite 3-700
 San Francisco, California 94103
 8 Telephone: (415) 625-7743
 Facsimile: (415) 625-7772
 9 email: pasker.leon@dol.gov

10 Attorneys for the Plaintiff, Hilda L. Solis, Secretary
 United States Department of Labor
 11

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

<p>14 HILDA L. SOLIS, Secretary of Labor, United) States Department of Labor,) 15) Plaintiff,) 16) v.) 17) SEAFOOD PEDDLER OF SAN RAFAEL,) 18 INC., dba SEAFOOD PEDDLER, a) corporation; ALPHONSE SILVESTRI, an) 19 individual, RICHARD MAYFIELD, an) individual, and FIDEL CHACON, an) 20 individual,) 21) Defendants.)</p>	<p>Case No. 4:12-cv-00116 (PJH/NC))) [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER PROHIBITING INQUIRY BY DEFENDANTS INTO WITNESSES' GOVERNMENT INFORMANT OR IMMIGRATION STATUS Hon. Nathanael Cousins</p>
---	--

22
 23 Plaintiff Hilda L. Solis, Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor, filed a
 24 motion for protective order under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), which came on for hearing before the
 25 Court on September 19, 2012. After considering the parties' joint letter brief regarding the

1 Secretary's motion and the arguments advanced by counsel during the hearing, it is hereby
2 ORDERED that Defendants are prohibited from:

3 1. Asking any witness during a deposition, directly or indirectly, whether he or she
4 or any other person spoke to, gave a statement to, or exchanged documents or other tangible
5 things with the United States Department of Labor, or any of its agents, employees or
6 investigators (collectively "DOL"). Should Defendants ask during a deposition whether a
7 witness discussed the subject matter of this case with anyone, gave a statement to or exchanged
8 documents or other tangible things with anyone, Defendants must begin the inquiry by stating,
9 "with the exception of any agent of the United States Department of Labor/DOL;"

10 2. Asking any witness during a deposition, directly or indirectly, about the content of
11 any communication, including any statement, email or correspondence, between the witness or
12 any other person and DOL;

13 3. ~~Asking any witness during a deposition, directly or indirectly, any questions~~
14 ~~concerning the immigration status of the witness or any other person, including any questions~~
15 ~~regarding:~~

- 16 (a) ~~The existence or content of any documents concerning the person's immigration~~
17 ~~status, including but not limited to, birth certificates, passports, Visas, Green Cards,~~
18 ~~Permanent Resident Cards, Employment Authorization Cards, I-9s, or any other~~
19 ~~forms of the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service;~~
- 20 (b) ~~The witness's or other person's country of birth, birth name, or any other aliases~~
21 ~~used at any time;~~
- 22 (c) ~~Whether the witness or other person spoke to, gave a statement to or exchanged~~
23 ~~documents or other tangible things with DOL or any other agent of the United~~
24 ~~States government concerning the witness's and/or any other person's immigration~~
25 ~~status;~~
- (d) ~~The witness's or other person's social security or tax payer identification number,~~
~~or lack thereof; and~~
- (e) ~~Whether the witness or other person paid income taxes, sought or obtained tax~~
~~advice, or prepared or filed an income tax return, including the content of any tax~~
~~returns.~~

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

4. Requesting that a deponent subpoenaed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 produce documents that:

- (a) ~~Refer or pertain to DOL; were provided by the witness or any other person to DOL; or constitute any communications between the witness and DOL; or~~
- (b) ~~Directly or indirectly concern the immigration status of the witness or any other person, including any documents relating to the issues addressed above in Item No. 3.~~

5. The restrictions of this protective order do not apply at trial of this matter without further order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 24, 2012

