Oliver v. Grounds et al

Doc. 19

Supreme Court clarified that <u>Bounds</u> did not establish a substantive right to law library access, but rather signaled that in order for prisoners' right of access to the courts to be meaningful, they must be given adequate resources to prepare. <u>See Lewis v. Casey</u>, 518 U.S. 343, 350-51 (1996).

In the instant case, Plaintiff has not claimed any actual harm caused by his alleged lack of access to any of the items he listed above. To the contrary, given the volume and length of the pleadings he has managed to file, it is readily apparent that Plaintiff has had little, if any, difficulty in preparing his pleadings. Furthermore, the Defendants in this case have not been served; therefore, no briefing schedule has been issued, and thus Plaintiff currently does not need to meet any deadlines. Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for an Order directing prison officials to provide him with the items listed above (docket no. 18) is DENIED at this time.

This Order terminates Docket no. 18.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/12/12

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge

28

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	3 MAURICE P. OLIVER,	
4		CV12-00176 SBA
5	, and the same of	TE OF SERVICE
6		
7		
8	<b> </b>	
9	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.  That on September 12, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle	
10		
11 12		
13	located in the Clerk's office.	
14	14	
15	California Training Facility	
16		
17	D O D ov. 705	
18	Dated: September 12, 2012	
19	Richard W. Wieking, Cl By: Lisa Clark, Deputy	
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	
25	25	
26	26	
27	27	