MELINDA L. HAAG, CSBN 132612 **United States Attorney** DONNA L. CALVERT, SBN IL 6191786 Acting Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX Social Security Administration 3 DAVID LERČH, CSBN 229411 Special Assistant United States Attorney 4 160 Spear Street, Suite 800 5 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 977-8936 Facsimile: (415) 744-0134 6 E-Mail: David.Lerch@ssa.gov 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 OAKLAND DIVISION 11 NICOLE PARLA. 12 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. 15 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

Commissioner of Social Security

Defendant.

CASE NO. 4:12-cv-00373-CW

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR REMAND PURSUANT TO SENTENCE FOUR OF 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), AND REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT FOR PLAINTIFF AND AGAINST DEFENDANT

18

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties, through their respective counsel of record, that this action be remanded to the Commissioner of Social Security for further administrative action pursuant to section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), sentence four.

On remand, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), will reconsider the medical evidence and reevaluate the Plaintiff's residual functional capacity without reference to consultative examiner Dr. Bunsri T. Sophon's opinion, and if necessary, order a new consultative examination or medical expert testimony. The ALJ will also associate the prior claim file with this claim, inquire as to the Plaintiff's alleged onset

date, and if necessary, apply the medical improvement standard set forth by 20 C.F.R. § 404.1594.

The parties further request that the Clerk of the Court be directed to enter a final judgment in favor of Plaintiff, and against Defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, reversing the final decision of the

1	Commissioner.		
2			Respectfully submitted,
3			
4	Dated: August 1, 2012	By:	s/ Katherine Siegfried
5			[as e-authorized via email]
6			[as e-authorized via email] KATHERINE SIEGFRIED, Attorney for Plaintiff
7			
8 9	Dated: August 1, 2012		MELINDA L. HAAG United States Attorney
10		By:	s/ David Lerch
11		Dy.	s/ David Lerch DAVID LERCH Special Assistant United States Attorney
12			Special Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendant
13			
14			<u>ORDER</u>
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:		
10	L PHRSHANT TO STIPHLATION IT IS SO	ORDE	ERFD.
16		ORDE	ERED:
		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16		ORDE	Chadial Diff
16 17		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18 19		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18 19 20		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18 19 20 21		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		ORDE	CHARABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN