

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

_____))
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
IGORS NAGAICEVS,)
)
 Defendant.)
_____)

Case No. CV-12-0413-CW

ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF SECURITIES AND
EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S
MOTION FOR
AUTHORIZATION TO SERVE
DEFENDANT IGORS
NAGAICEVS BY EMAIL

1 **ORDER AUTHORIZING SERVICE BY EMAIL OF DEFENDANT IGORS NAGAICEVS**

2 Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) moves for authorization to serve
3 defendant Igors Nagaicevs (“Nagaicevs”) with the Summons, Complaint and other pleadings by email
4 in accordance with Rule 4(f)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Rule 4(f)(3) provides that an
5 individual in a foreign country may be served “by other means not prohibited by international agreement
6 as may be directed by the court.” Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 4(f)(3). By virtue of “this plain language, service
7 under Rule 4(f)(3) must be (1) directed by the court; and (2) not prohibited by international agreement.
8 No other limitations are evident from the text.” *Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio International Interlink*, 284
9 F.3d 1007, 1014 (9th Cir. 2002). Courts in the Northern District of California have authorized service
10 by email upon foreign defendants. *E.g., Craigslist, Inc. v. Temple*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144411 at *3-
11 4 (N.D. Cal. May 20, 2010) (Ware, C.J.).

12 Plaintiff previously attempted service upon Nagaicevs at the personal address in Latvia that he
13 provided when establishing many of the trading accounts he is accused of misusing in the instant case.,
14 using procedures set forth in the Hague Convention. On July 20, 2012, the Latvian Ministry of Justice
15 returned the service documents to Plaintiff with a notation that the "addressee cannot be located." Yun
16 Decl. 11, Ex. 10, 1, 3. Because the Latvian process server indicated that the “addressee” could not be
17 located, rather than the “address,” the Court directs Plaintiff send the service documents to Nagaicevs
18 at the address in Latvia using International mail with a return receipt requested.

19 The Court notes that, in some applications, Nagaicevs also provided an address in Seychelles for
20 the corporate entity Lemantek, Ltd. In its motion, Plaintiff explains that Nagaicevs engaged in wrongful
21 trading activities “while holding himself out as being associated with a business called Lemantek LTD.”
22 Mot. at 4. Plaintiff has not offered evidence that it tried to serve Nagaicevs through the Seychelles
23 address or an explanation as to why it has not done so. Because, while establishing some of the relevant
24 trading accounts, Nagaicevs provided a physical address in Seychelles for a corporate entity with which
25 he was associated, the Court directs Plaintiff to attempt to serve him through the Seychelles address as
26 well.

27 Having considered the papers submitted by Plaintiff, the Court finds that no international
28 agreement prohibits email service upon Nagaicevs in Latvia because the previous effort to serve him in

1 Latvia was unsuccessful and because Latvian law authorizes service of summons by email. Because the
2 most recent evidence that the email account lemantek@gmail.com is active and in use is more than two
3 years old, the Court directs Plaintiff to seek further proof from Google, Inc. that this account is still active
4 today. The Court further finds that email service upon Nagaicev, in combination with other measures
5 ordered herein, is appropriate because his use of an email account for his securities transactions
6 demonstrates that service by email is reasonably calculated to provide Nagaicevs with notice of the
7 Summons and Complaint and the opportunity to appear in this case.

8 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court authorizes Plaintiff to serve Nagaicevs with the
9 Summons, Complaint, and other pleadings by email and as otherwise provided in this Order in
10 accordance with Rule 4(f)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: 10/16/2012

13
14 
15 CLAUDIA WILKEN
16 United States District Court
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28