For the Northern District of California

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

1		
2		
3	LINIT	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6		
7	DALE J CADDELL,	No. C 12-00689 DMR
	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER REMANDING CASE
8	v.	
9	MICHAEL J ASTRUE,	
10		
11	Defendant(s).	/
12		

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, [Docket No. 17], the court hereby REMANDS this action for further proceedings. On remand, the Appeals Council will remand the matter to an administrative law judge for a new decision and further evaluation of the claimant's mental impairments and left knee impairment beginning at step 2 of the sequential evaluation process; further evaluation of the claimant's impairments at step 3; further consideration of the claimant's physical and mental residual functional capacity with information received after the State agency physician's reviews; if necessary and available, medical expert evidence may be obtained, particularly with respect to the claimant's mental impairments and limitations; and if warranted,

IT IS SO ORDEREL

States Magistrate Ju

ge Donna M. Ryu

supplemental vocational expert testimony at step 5.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 27, 2012

25