27

28

2	Corpus Practice and Procedure § 12.3b at 383-86 (2d ed. 1994). Appointment is mandatory only
3	when the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent
4	due process violations. See Chaney, 801 F.2d at 1196; Eskridge v. Rhay, 345 F.2d 778, 782 (9th Cir.
5	1965).
6	At this time, the Court is unable to determine whether the appointment of counsel is
7	mandated for Petitioner. Accordingly, the interests of justice do not require appointment of counsel,
8	and Petitioner's request is DENIED. This denial is without prejudice to the Court's sua sponte
9	reconsideration should the Court find an evidentiary hearing necessary following consideration of
10	the merits of Petitioner's claims.
11	This Order terminates Docket No. 16.
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	DATED: April 8, 2013  WONNE GONZAZEZ ROGERS
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

facts; and (6) factually complex cases. See generally 1 J. Liebman & R. Hertz, Federal Habeas