

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION,

No. C 12-1013 CW

5 Plaintiff,

ORDER STRIKING
PLAINTIFF'S
SEPARATE

6 v.

EVIDENTIARY
OBJECTIONS (Docket
No. 33) AND

7 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,

GRANTING PLAINTIFF
LEAVE TO FILE AN
AMENDED OPPOSITION

8 Defendant.

AND CROSS-MOTION
FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

9 _____/

10
11 On October 5, 2012, Plaintiff First Amendment Coalition filed
12 its twenty-five page cross-motion for summary judgment and
13 opposition to Defendant United States Department of Justice's
14 motion for summary judgment. Docket No. 31. Plaintiff
15 concurrently filed a separate document setting forth objections to
16 the evidence offered by Defendant in support of its summary
17 judgment. See Docket No. 33. This document contains twenty-three
18 pages of text.

19 Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) provides that, when a party files an
20 opposition to a motion, "[a]ny evidentiary and procedural
21 objections to the motion must be contained within the brief or
22 memorandum," which may not exceed twenty-five pages of text. See
23 also Docket No. 29 (Plaintiff's opposition and cross-motion to be
24 contained in a single brief of twenty-five pages or less). In
25 violation of Rule 7-3, Plaintiff has filed its evidentiary
26 objections separately from its brief. Further, between the two
27 documents, Plaintiff has filed forty-eight pages of text.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, the Court STRIKES Plaintiff's evidentiary objections (Docket No. 33). Plaintiff is granted leave to amend and refile its opposition and cross-motion incorporating any evidentiary objections, within two Court days of the date of this Order. Plaintiff's amended opposition and cross-motion shall not exceed twenty-five pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/9/2012



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge