

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5
6

7 JASON TRABAKOOLAS and SHIELA
8 STETSON, individually and on behalf of all
9 others similarly situated,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et
13 al.,

14 Defendants.

Case No.: 12-cv-01172-YGR

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING
DISCOVERY OF COMMUNICATIONS WITH
INSURANCE COMPANY**

15 Plaintiffs are hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why they should not be ordered to disclose
16 communications with their insurance companies under the authority of *Travelers Ins. Companies v.*
17 *Superior Court*, 143 Cal. App. 3d 436 (Cal. Ct. App. 1983) and the cases cited therein. On its face,
18 these communications do not appear to satisfy the “dominant purpose” test relating to potential
19 claims against Plaintiffs themselves.

20 The Court **ORDERS** Plaintiffs to file a brief in response to this Order to Show Cause, no longer
21 than five pages, by Wednesday, February 20, 2013. Defendants shall file a response, no longer than
22 five pages, by Monday, February 25, 2013. No other briefing is permitted except as stated herein or
23 otherwise ordered. The parties are advised to limit their authorities and argument to that which is
24 *truly pertinent* and *directly addresses* the issues raised herein.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: February 14, 2013

27 
28 YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE