

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MOHAMMAD SALIMI, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BMW FINANCIAL SERVICES NA, LLC,
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [12-cv-01754-JSW](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Re: Dkt. No. 136

On June 22, 2018, Defendant BMW Financial Services NA, LLC (“BMW”) filed a motion (i) to vacate the Court’s order granting in part and denying in part Plaintiffs’ motion for contempt and (ii) requesting an order of preliminary approval of a class action settlement. Counsel for BMW indicated that the request to vacate the Court’s order on the motion for contempt was unopposed, but did not attach a declaration (or an attestation of any kind) from Plaintiffs to this effect. It is therefore not clear to the Court whether Plaintiffs, in fact, do not oppose BMW’s request. Further, it is unclear from BMW’s motion whether Plaintiffs oppose or do not oppose BMW’s request for an order of preliminary approval of a class action settlement.

The deadline (July 6, 2018) for Plaintiffs to file an opposition has passed, and Plaintiffs have filed no opposition.


Accordingly, Plaintiffs are ORDERED to file either (i) a notice of non-opposition or (ii) a belated opposition to BMW’s request to vacate the contempt order *and* request for preliminary settlement approval. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to do so by July 19, 2018.

If Plaintiffs do not comply with this Order, the Court will consider these matters unopposed. If Plaintiffs file a belated opposition by July 19, 2018, BMW’s deadline for a reply brief will be July 26, 2018.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 12, 2018



JEFFREY S. WHITE
United States District Judge