

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL JOSEPH RAAB,
Plaintiff,
v.
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,
Defendant.

No. C 12-02110 CW


**ORDER DIRECTING
PARTIES TO INFORM
COURT WHETHER THEY
CONSENT TO A
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR
ALL PURPOSES**

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b).

Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than 11/9/2012, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.¹ For the parties' convenience, a consent form is attached hereto; forms are also available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 26, 2012



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

¹Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their Joint Case Management Statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.