

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 BRANDYWINE COMMUNICATIONS
5 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 AT&T CORP., et al.,

9 Defendants.
10 _____/

No. C 12-2494 CW

ORDER GRANTING IN
PART MOTION TO
EXCEED PAGE LIMITS
(Docket No. 69)

11 Plaintiff Brandywine Communications Technologies, Inc. moves
12 for leave to file excess pages. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks
13 fifteen additional pages for its opening claim construction brief
14 and five additional pages for its reply. Plaintiff also requests
15 that Defendants receive fifteen additional pages for their
16 opposition brief, pursuant to an agreement between the parties.¹
17 Docket No. 69, at 1. After reviewing Plaintiff's motion -- as
18 well as its opening claim construction brief, filed on April 25,
19 2013 -- the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion in part and DENIES it
20 in part.

21 Plaintiff filed a thirty-three page opening brief without
22 first obtaining leave to file excess pages. However, because the
23 additional pages appear necessary to describe the relevant
24 technology, Plaintiff is granted leave to exceed the page limit
25 with respect to its opening brief. Defendants are granted leave
26 to file an opposition brief of equal length to Plaintiff's opening

27 _____
28 ¹ Plaintiff did not file a copy of this agreement and Defendants
did not join in Plaintiff's motion.

1 brief. The Court denies Plaintiff's request for additional pages
2 for its reply. In the future, the parties must comply with Civil
3 Local Rule 7-4(b).

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 Dated: 5/8/2013

7 
8 CLAUDIA WILKEN
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28