

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6 OAKLAND DIVISION

7
8 CURTIS D. WILLIAMS, an individual,

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, et al.,

12 Defendants.

Case No: C 12-02511 SBA

ORDER

Docket 18, 40

13
14 On May 8, 2013, Plaintiff filed a motion for leave to file a second amended
15 complaint. Dkt. 40. Under Civil Local Rule 7-3, any opposition to the motion was due by
16 no later than fourteen days after the motion was filed, i.e., May 22, 2013. This Court's
17 Standing Orders specifically warn that "[t]he failure of the opposing party to file a
18 memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to any motion shall constitute a
19 consent to the granting of the motion." Civil Standing Orders at 4, Dkt. 2.
20 Notwithstanding the requirements of Civil Local Rule 7-3 and this Court's Standing Orders,
21 no Defendant has filed an opposition to Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a second
22 amended complaint.

23 Accordingly,

24 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

25 1. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a second amended complaint is
26 GRANTED. Plaintiff shall file the proposed second amended complaint by no later than
27 seven (7) days from the date this Order is filed.

28 2. Defendants' motion to dismiss the first amended complaint is DENIED as
MOOT.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. This Order terminates Docket 18 and Docket 40.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/29/13


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge