1

2

3

4

5

6

24

25

26

27

28

//

//

//

7 MOON ENG, et al., 8 Plaintiffs, 9 v. 10 WELLS FARGO BANK, et al., United States District Court 11 Defendants. 12 13 the motion to dismiss filed by Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, and required Plaintiffs Moon Eng 14 15 and Shirley Armintrout to file a response by June 28, 2012. Docket No. 13. Plaintiffs did not file a 16 response to the order to show cause, and did not file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to 17 the motion to dismiss. Furthermore, Plaintiffs did not appear for the phone conference with the 18 ADR Department that was scheduled for June 28, 2012, pursuant to the court's order of referral. See 19 Docket No. 14. 20 21 this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with an order of the 22 court. Plaintiffs must file a written response to this order to show cause by **August 9, 2012.** 23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA No. C-12-02534 DMR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE; ORDER VACATING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND MOTION HEARING On June 18, 2012, the court issued an order to show cause for Plaintiffs' failure to respond to The court hereby ORDERS Plaintiffs Moon Eng and Shirley Armintrout to show cause why Plaintiffs' failure to respond to the order to show cause will result in dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

The court further ORDERS that the hearing on the motion to dismiss and initial case management set for August 9, 2012, are VACATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 1, 2012

DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge