1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	
7	DEBORAH SANTANA-WATTS, No. C 12-02701 DMR
8	Plaintiff(s), ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
9	v.
10	MITSUI & CO. (U.S.A.) ET.AL.,
11	Defendant(s).
12	/
13	Plaintiff Deborah Santana-Watts filed this action on May 25, 2012. [Docket No. 1.] On
14	August 31, 2012, she moved the court to continue the case management conference because she was
15	"still in the process of locating the true [Defendant] and locating the appropriate agent for service of
16	process." [Docket No. 7.] The court granted the motion and continued the September 5, 2012 case
17	management conference to November 7, 2012. [Docket No. 9.] Accordingly, Plaintiff's case
18	management statement was due October 31, 2012. [Docket No. 9.]
19	Pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff had until September
20	22, 2012 to serve the defendant. Plaintiff has failed to do so. Plaintiff also did not file her case
21	management statement. The court therefore ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE by November 5,
22	2012 as to why the court should not dismiss her case for failure to prosecute.
23	ATES DISTRICT
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	Dated: November 1, 2012
26	Dated: November 1, 2012
27	DUNUAM, RYU Z
28	Giled Stadse Magnitato Large
	PRIV DISTRICT OF C
	Dockets.Justia.com