



1 these complaints. Rather, in Bonilla v. Bonilla, C 12-2852, he  
2 complains that his sister has denied him an accounting of the  
3 proceeds in the living trust established by his father before he  
4 died, and in Bonilla v. Pacific Growers, C 12-2863, he seeks  
5 monetary damages from the participants of an alleged civil  
6 conspiracy that led to his conviction and incarceration.  
7

8 Moreover, the fact that Plaintiff has been sentenced to death  
9 does not, at this time, satisfy the imminent danger requirement.  
10 Specifically, he is not in imminent danger of execution because  
11 this Court has entered a stay of execution in his pending federal  
12 habeas corpus action. See Bonilla v. Ayers, No. C 08-0471 CW (PR),  
13 Docket no. 3.

14 Accordingly, Plaintiff's request to proceed IFP is DENIED and  
15 these actions are hereby DISMISSED.<sup>1</sup>

16 The Clerk of the Court shall terminate all pending motions,  
17 enter judgment and close the files.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED: 6/18/2012

20   
21 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
22 United States District Judge  
23  
24

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff is not precluded from bringing his claims in future  
27 actions in which he pays the full filing fee of \$350.00. However,  
28 even if he does so, such actions will be subject to review by the  
Court to determine whether the claims can go forward. 28 U.S.C.  
§ 1915A.