IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

PAUL SAMUEL JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

MELLISA McKINNEY, et al.,

8 Defendants.

Case No.: 12-02924 CW (PR)

ORDER DENYING LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS; DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE COMPLETED NON-PRISONER IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATION AND DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

(Docket nos. 2, 14)

Plaintiff filed the present <u>pro se</u> civil rights action and application seeking leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> (IFP) when he was incarcerated at the California State Prison - Solano. Thereafter, when Plaintiff informed the Court that he was going to be released, the Court directed him either to pay the filing fee or file a non-prisoner IFP application. Docket no. 7. Plaintiff

prisoner IFP application. Docket no. 14. Subsequently, Plaintiff was released and no longer is incarcerated.

was not released at that time; consequently, he filed a new

Ordinarily, a plaintiff is permitted to file a civil action in federal court without prepayment of fees or security if he alleges in an affidavit that he is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). But, if the plaintiff is a prisoner who alleges that he is unable to pay the full filing fee at the time of filing, he will be required to pay the full amount of the filing fee even if he is granted IFP status. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). This is done by way of an "installment plan," whereby the court will assess an initial payment, and the prisoner will be required thereafter to make

For the Northern District of California

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

monthly payments of twenty percent of the preceding month's income credited to his prison trust account. See id.

If a prisoner is released, however, the court will be unable to collect the funds from his prisoner trust account as required under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). Consequently, because Plaintiff has been released from custody, he now must apply to proceed IFP under the general provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1).

Accordingly, the Court orders as follows: Plaintiff's request to proceed IFP based on his prisoner IFP application is DENIED. No later than fourteen days from the date of this Order, Plaintiff either shall (1) pay the \$350.00 filing fee in this action, or (2) file a completed non-prisoner IFP application. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this Order, the case will be dismissed without prejudice. The Clerk of the Court shall provide Plaintiff with a non-prisoner IFP application.

Additionally, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is DENIED as premature. The Court will not consider any motion for the appointment of counsel unless and until the complaint is ordered served.

It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. must keep the Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

This Order terminates Docket nos. 2 and 14.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/4/2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE