

Plaintiff Carlos Armando Ortega, formerly a state prisoner, filed a civil rights action
under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaining of his treatment by officers at the Santa Clara County
Jail (SCCJ). On February 11, 2014, Plaintiff filed a notice of change of address indicating
that he is now housed at Napa State Hospital. However, in another case filed by Plaintiff, *Ortega v. Mattocks*, case no. C 13-6016 JSC (PR), the Court's mail to him at his Napa
State Hospital address was returned because Plaintiff was no longer in custody. *See* Doc.
no. 10 in case no. C 13-6016 JSC (PR).

Civil Local Rule 3-11(a) provides that a party proceeding pro se "whose address
changes while an action is pending must promptly file with the Court . . . a Notice of
Change of Address specifying the new address." The rule further provides that the Court
may dismiss without prejudice a complaint when "mail directed at a party by the Court has
been returned to the Court as not deliverable and the Court fails to receive within 60 days
of this return a written communication from the pro se party indicating a current address."
Therefore, the Court orders Plaintiff to file a notice of change of address specifying

United States District Court Northern District of California

14

his current address. Plaintiff must file this notice within sixty days from the date of this Order, or his case will be dismissed without prejudice under Civil Local Rule 3-11. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 2/25/14 anded (1) estar **ESTMORE** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE