

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5 ALFREDO P. CHACON, SR., et al.,

6 Plaintiffs,

No. C 12-3809 PJH

7 v.

ORDER

8 ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS
9 LP, et al.,

10 Defendants.
11 _____/

12 The court is in receipt of a chambers copy of a motion to remand filed by plaintiffs in
13 the above-entitled action on July 31, 2012. The court cannot consider the motion in the
14 format presented. First, there are approximately 30 exhibits that are attached to the
15 memorandum of points and authorities, but which are unaccompanied by any declaration or
16 request for judicial notice. The court will not consider exhibits submitted with a motion
17 unless they are authenticated by a declaration, or if self-authenticating, are at a minimum
18 attached to a declaration that explains what they are, see Fed. R. Evid. 901, 902; Civ. L.R.
19 7-2(d), 7-5; or if subject to judicial notice, are attached to an appropriate request for judicial
20 notice. See Fed. R. Evid. 201.

21 Second, the exhibits are not tabbed. It is not possible for the court to locate
22 particular exhibits in a large stack of paper unless the exhibits are tabbed. The court has
23 many many cases on its docket, and will not consider any exhibits that it cannot locate with
24 reasonable facility.

25
26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: August 1, 2012

28


PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge