UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	ERIFF'S ASSOCIATION OF THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	CASE NO.CV-12-3823 SBA
	Plaintiff(s),	
	v.	STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	CISCO DEPUTY SHERIFFS'	222011.01211100020
FOUNDATIO	<u>DN, et al.,</u> Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met and confe oulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 ar	erred regarding ADR and have reached the ad ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the following AD	OR process:
Court X —	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (AMEdiation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably n ADR phone c	nore likely to meet their needs than an	conference with a Magistrate Judge is by other form of ADR must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Privat	te Process: Private ADR (please identify proces	s and provider)
The parties ag	gree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadle referring the case to an ADR proces)	line is 90 days from the date of the order s unless otherwise ordered.)
<u>X</u>	other requested deadline 120 day to an ADR process	s from the date of the order referring the case
Dated: Octobe	er 3, 2012	/s/Isaac S. Stevens Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: Octobe	er 3, 2012	/s/Lawrence D. Murray Attorney for Defendant

		 	_
/ N	n	· 1	n
	ĸ	н	ĸ

X	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
	The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/4/12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

James B. Ormska

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."