DC: 5535125-2

Case No. 4:12-cv-3897-YGR

22 | 23 |

Consistent with the Court's November 20, 2014 "Order Setting Compliance Hearing" (ECF No. 130), Plaintiffs Diana Ellis, James Schillinger and Ronald Lazar ("Plaintiffs") and JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., for itself and as the successor by merger to Chase Home Finance ("Chase" or "Defendants") (together, the "Parties") respectfully submit: (i) a Joint Statement "summarizing the status of the litigation and apprising the Court" of the need for a 60-day extension of the remaining pretrial deadlines; and (ii) "an accompanying proposed form of order" (the "Proposed Order").

## I. JOINT STATEMENT

On November 25, 2014, the Parties conducted a meet and confer and reached an agreement to submit this Joint Statement "summarizing the status of the litigation and apprising the Court of [the] need for deadline extensions." The Parties agree that the following pretrial dates should be extended by 60 days as follows:

<u>Event</u>	Current Deadline	Proposed Extension
Disclosure of Experts: Opening	December 22, 2014	February 20, 2015
Mediation Cutoff	January 15, 2015	March 16, 2015
Disclosure of Experts: Rebuttal	January 23, 2015	March 24, 2015
Expert Discovery Cutoff	February 20, 2015	April 21, 2015
Class Certification Motion	March 6, 2015	May 5, 2015
Class Certification Opposition	April 6, 2015	June 5, 2015
Class Certification Reply	May 6, 2015	July 6, 2015
Class Certification Hearing	May 26, 2015	July 24, 2015

The Parties believe that this extension is warranted for the following reasons:

*First*, although Chase has produced transactional level data relating to 1.5 million loans going back to January 1, 2008, Chase contends that ongoing work is being done to validate that data. By way of background, Plaintiffs sought information concerning the number of property inspection fees Chase charged to borrowers and the amounts paid by those borrowers. In response, Chase represents that it began a data excavation and mining

project that has required Chase to undertake, design, and program multiple iterations and queries in connection with millions of lines of data. Chase contends that, due to the complexity of the data mining project and the need to retrieve and mine data relating to legacy entities that Chase acquired by merger or other acquisitions, it is engaged in ongoing work to validate and verify the post-2008 datasets it produced prior to the discovery cutoff.

Chase represents that it is also in the process of producing pre-2008 data pursuant to an order of Magistrate Judge Spero. The Parties disagreed about whether loan level data should be provided for the time period from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2007 and submitted that dispute to Magistrate Judge Spero on October 21, 2014. (ECF No. 114.) On October 29, 2014, Judge Spero ordered Chase to produce damages data back to 2001 by January 27, 2015. (ECF No. 119.) As a result, Chase will not complete its production of loan level data going back to 2001 until well after the December 22, 2014 deadline for initial expert reports and disclosures.

Extending the remaining pretrial deadlines for 60 days will ensure that each of the Parties' damages experts will have a complete, validated data set, including the pre-2008 data subject to a production deadline of January 27, 2015, before expert witness reports are due. Moreover, to the extent that discussions between the Parties reveal a need for the production of any supplemental or corrected data, the extension would provide sufficient time for such productions to occur before expert reports are due.

Second, for similar reasons set forth in Chase's Response to and Joinder in Plaintiffs' Renewed Request for Pretrial Conference (ECF 115), extending the pretrial deadlines will enable the Parties to review and digest more than a million pages of ESI. Chase was able to produce the vast majority of responsive ESI documents by the November 21 deadline. However, due to the unanticipated large number of documents that Chase contends require redaction, the Parties stipulated to, and Judge Spero granted, an order allowing Chase to complete its production of redacted ESI by December 2, 2015. (ECF No. 129.) This leaves the Parties and their experts less than three weeks to review

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

and consider ESI in connection with their expert reports. Therefore, extending the deadlines by 60 days will provide the Parties and their experts with time to conduct their review of ESI and incorporate that information into their expert reports.

*Third*, there are four 30(b)(6) deposition topics which, although served and noticed for dates prior to the discovery cutoff deadline, have not yet been completed due to scheduling issues. One 30(b)(6) deposition topic relates to Chase's Broker's Price Opinion ("BPO") charges, as discussed in Plaintiffs' Renewed Request for Pretrial Conference. (ECF No. 111.) This deposition is scheduled to be taken on December 10, 2014. Another 30(b)(6) topic relates to the relationship between J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. and Chase Bank, N.A. The Parties disagreed as to whether Plaintiffs were entitled to take the deposition and after submitting their dispute to Judge Spero, the Court ordered Chase to produce a witness for the deposition. (ECF No. 119.) The deposition is scheduled to be held on December 12, 2014. Another 30(b)(6) topic relates to the loan level data discussed above. Plaintiffs took this deposition on November 13, 2014, but the parties have agreed to continue that deposition to a second day in December that they are in the process of setting. Finally, a deposition concerning Chase's policies for charging borrowers fees for property inspections is scheduled to be taken on December 9, 2014. Extending the pretrial deadlines will allow the parties to complete these depositions on a cooperative basis and in time to allow for the incorporation of such discovery into the Parties' expert reports.<sup>1</sup>

The Parties therefore respectfully request that the following deadlines set in the May 19, 2014 Order (ECF No. 95) be extended by 60 days as follows:

- 1. Mediation Cutoff: March 16, 2015
- 2. Disclosure of Experts Opening: February 20, 2015
- 3. Disclosure of Experts Rebuttal: March 24, 2015
- 4. Expert Discovery Cutoff: April 21, 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Furthermore, as of the date of this filing, Defendants' motions to dismiss the RICO claims are still pending before the Court.

5. Class Certification Motion to be Filed: May 5, 2015					
6. Class Certification Opposition to be Filed: June 5, 2015					
7. Class Certification Reply to be Filed: July 6, 2015					
8. Hearing on Motion for Class Certification: July 24, 2015 at 2 p.m.					
Dated: November 26, 2014	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,				
	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP				
	By: <u>/s/ "Jee Young You"</u>				
	Peter Obstler (No. 171623)				
Jee Young You (No. 241658) Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor					
	San Francisco, CA 94111-4024				
	Telephone: 415.471.3100 Facsimile: 415.471.3400				
	Attorneys for Defendants				
	JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. for itself and as successor by merger				
	to Chase Home Finance LLC				
In accordance with L.R. 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), the above signatory attests that the signatory below concurs in the contents of this filing and has authorized this filing.					
3					
Datada Navambar 26, 2014	DARON ( DUDD DC				
Dated. November 20, 2014	BARON & BUDD, P.C.				
	By:/s/ "Mark Pifko"				
	Daniel Alberstone (SBN 105275) Roland Tellis (SBN 186269) Mark Pifko (SBN 228412)				
	Baron & Budd, P.C.				
	15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1600 Encino, California 91436 Telephone: (818) 839-2333				
	Facsimile: (818) 986-9698				
Marguerite K. Kingsmill (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )					
mkingsmill@kingsmillriess.com					
JOINT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND CASE DEADLINES					
	6. Class Certification Oppos 7. Class Certification Reply 8. Hearing on Motion for Cl Dated: November 26, 2014  In accordance with L.R. 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i below concurs in the contents of this fi  Dated: November 26, 2014				

	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	l

Charles B. Colvin (admitted *pro hac vice*) ccolvin@kingsmillriess.com
KINGSMILL RIESS, L.L.C.
201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3300
New Orleans, LA 70170
Telephone: (504) 581-3300
Facsimile: (504) 581-3310

Philip F. Cossich, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
David A. Parsiola (admitted pro hac vice)
COSSICH, SUMICH, PARSIOLA &
TAYLOR, L.L.C.

8397 Highway 23, Suite 100 Belle Chasse, Louisiana 70037 Telephone: (504) 394-9000 Facsimile: (504) 394-9110

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Diana Ellis, James Schillinger and Ronald Lazar, individually, and on behalf of other members of the public similarly situated

## 3 4

56

7

8

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

21

20

2223

24

2526

27

28

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the contents of the Parties' Joint Statement, and finding good cause therein, hereby orders that the following deadlines set in the May 19, 2014 Order (ECF No. 95) be extended by 60 days as follows:

- 1. Mediation Cutoff: March 16, 2015
- 2. Disclosure of Experts Opening: February 20, 2015
- 3. Disclosure of Experts Rebuttal: March 24, 2015
- 4. Expert Discovery Cutoff: April 21, 2015
- 5. Class Certification Motion to be Filed: May 5, 2015
- 6. Class Certification Opposition to be Filed: June 5, 2015
- 7. Class Certification Reply to be Filed: July 6, 2015
- 8. Hearing on Motion for Class Certification: July 24, 2015 at 2 p.m.

To the extent that the parties are still completing discovery responsive to discovery requests served prior to November 21, 2014, they shall use their best efforts to complete all such discovery or supplemental productions cooperatively and expeditiously within sixty days from November 21, 2014.

Dated: December 2, 2014

Judge of the United States District Court