

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES,  
INCORPORATED,

Plaintiff,

vs.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Case No.: 12-CV-4413 YGR

**[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

**Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers**

A Case Management Conference was held on February 25, 2013, and the Court hereby **ENTERS** the Case Management Order as follows:

Party	Item	Due Date
All	Complete Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer (re: Initial Disclosures, Discovery, ADR Process, Early Settlement)	February 4, 2013
All	File 26(f) Report; File Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order	February 18, 2013
All	Case Management Conference	February 25, 2013
FuzzySharp	Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions (With Accompanying Doc Production) Patent L.R. 3-1 and 3-2	March 11, 2013
Intel	Invalidity Contentions (With Accompanying Doc Production) Patent L.R. 3-3 and 3-4	April 25, 2013 (45 days after Infringement Contentions)
All	Exchange of Proposed Terms for Construction Patent L.R. 4-1	May 9, 2013 (14 days after Invalidity Contentions)

Party	Item	Due Date
All	Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence Patent L.R. 4-2	May 30, 2013 (21 days after Exchange of Proposed Terms for Construction)
All	Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement Due Patent L.R. 4-3	June 24, 2013
All	Claim Construction Discovery Deadline Patent L.R. 4-4	July 25, 2013 (31 days after JCC)
FuzzySharp	Claim Construction Opening Brief Patent L.R. 4-5(a)	August 9, 2013
Intel	Claim Construction Opposition Brief Patent L.R. 4-5(b)	August 23, 2013 (14 days after service of Opening Brief)
FuzzySharp	Claim Construction Reply Brief Patent L.R. 4-5(c)	September 2, 2013 (8 days after service of responsive brief)
All	Tutorial	<b>October 2, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.</b>
All	Exchange exhibits for Claim Construction Hearing	October 8, 2013
All	Claim Construction Hearing Patent L.R. 4-6	<b>October 9, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.</b>

The Court **FURTHER ORDERS** that the parties supplement the process of identifying the claims terms to be construed. For each claim, each party shall identify with specificity the intended impact of the proposed constructions on the merits of the case and exchange the same. The Joint Claim Construction Statement shall include each party's impact statement for each claim to be construed.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 4, 2013



YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE