filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated as of August 4, 2017 (the "Stipulation"), attached as Exhibit A to the Court's Final Order and Judgment, and all capitalized terms used, but not defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. Pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to
 Persons who are Settlement Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort,
 advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair
 opportunity was accorded to Persons who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect
 to the Plan of Allocation.
- 3. The Court has reviewed and considered the objection to the Plan of Allocation submitted by Joseph C. Keane, and it is overruled. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 77k(e).
- 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants that is set forth in the Notice of Pendency of Class Action, Proposed Settlement, and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses (the "Notice") disseminated to Settlement Class Members, attached as Exhibit B to the Court's Final Order and Judgment, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the Net Settlement Fund among eligible Settlement Class Members.

1	5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in
2	the Notice, is, in all respects, fair and reasonable, and the Court hereby approves the Plan of
3	Allocation.
4	
5	
6	Dated: December 20, 2017 Speech Systems Super Mice
7	Honorable Yvonne Godzalez Rogers UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2021	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	