

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PENSION PLAN,

No. C-12-04738 DMR

Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS’  
COUNSEL’S MOTION TO WITHDRAW  
[DOCKET NO. 63]**

v.

YUBACON INC,

Defendant(s).

On February 10, 2014, Salamirad Morrow P.C. (“Salamirad Morrow”) moved to withdraw as counsel for Defendants AM Pacific Engineering and MV2 Energy, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”). [Docket Nos. 62, 63.] In compliance with Civil Local Rule 11-5(a), Salamirad Morrow served written notice of this motion on Defendants and all other parties on February 10, 2014. [Docket No. 62-4.] Defendants do not oppose the motion but request a 90 day continuance of all deadlines in this case so that they may obtain counsel. Plaintiffs did not file an opposition to the motion. The court finds this matter suitable for determination without oral argument pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b) and hereby vacates the hearing set for March 27, 2014. For the following reasons, the court grants Salamirad Morrow’s motion to withdraw and stays all deadlines in this case for 30 days.

**I. Background**

Salamirad Morrow represents Defendants AM Pacific Engineering and MV2 Energy, Inc. in this Employment Retirement Income and Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”) case brought by Plaintiffs

1 Pension Plan for Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, et al. Salamirad Morrow moves to  
2 withdraw as Defendants’ counsel on two grounds: 1) Defendants are no longer paying the firm to  
3 represent them in this litigation; and 2) Defendants’ president and part-owner, Michael Murray, has  
4 instructed the firm to stop representing Defendants in this matter. (Salamirad Decl., Feb. 12, 2014, ¶  
5 3.) Salamirad Morrow asserts that Defendants owe over \$20,000 in legal fees, and that despite  
6 promising to pay the firm, Murray has recently informed Salamirad Morrow that it is unable to pay  
7 any of its outstanding bills. (Salamirad Decl. ¶¶ 3, 5.) The firm informed Murray that it would  
8 move to withdraw as counsel for Defendants on January 24, 2014, (Salamirad Decl. ¶ 3), and again  
9 on February 7, 2014, when counsel sent a letter to Murray notifying him of the firm’s intent to  
10 withdraw and explaining that Defendants would not be permitted to appear in this case without an  
11 attorney. (Stepans Decl., Feb. 12, 2014, ¶ 4.)

12 On March 7, 2014, the court ordered the parties to submit supplemental briefing regarding  
13 the motion to withdraw. [Docket No. 67.] Specifically, the court ordered the parties to address the  
14 ramifications of permitting counsel to withdraw so close to the June 23, 2014 trial in this matter, and  
15 to address the fact that Defendants, who are not natural persons, may only appear in this Court  
16 through counsel. *See* N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 3-9(b). Salamirad Morrow timely filed the requested  
17 briefing on March 17, 2014.<sup>1</sup> [Docket No. 69.] In connection with its supplemental briefing,  
18 Salamirad Morrow submitted a declaration by Murray in which he requests a 90-day continuance of  
19 all deadlines in this matter so that he may find counsel to represent Defendants in this action, or in  
20 the alternative, to represent Defendants in bankruptcy. (Murray Decl., Mar. 17, 2014, ¶ 2.)

## 21 II. Legal Standard

22 Civil Local Rule 11-5(a) provides that an attorney may withdraw as counsel of record so  
23 long as counsel 1) provides written notice to the client and all other parties in the action and 2)  
24 obtains leave of the court. N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 11-5(a); *Darby v. City of Torrance*, 810 F. Supp. 275,  
25 276 (C.D. Cal. 1992) (“[a]n attorney may not withdraw as counsel except by leave of court.”).  
26 Permission to withdraw is discretionary. *See U.S. v. Carter*, 560 F.3d 1107, 1113 (9th Cir. 2009).

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs did not file a response to the court’s order for supplemental briefing.

1 Civil Local Rule 11-4(a)(1) requires attorneys practicing in this Court to “comply with the  
2 standards of professional conduct required of members of the State Bar of California.” California  
3 Rule of Professional Conduct 3-700 sets forth several grounds under which an attorney may seek to  
4 withdraw, including if the client “breaches an agreement or obligation to [its counsel] as to expenses  
5 or fees.” Cal. R. Prof. Conduct 3-700(C)(1)(f). An attorney may also request withdrawal on the  
6 basis of “other conduct [that] renders it unreasonably difficult for the member to carry out the  
7 employment effectively.” Cal. R. Prof. Conduct 3-700(C)(1)(d). Before withdrawing for any  
8 reason, an attorney must take “reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to the  
9 rights of the client, including giving due notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other  
10 counsel, complying with rule 3-700(D)<sup>2</sup>, and complying with applicable laws and rules.” Cal. R.  
11 Prof. Conduct 3-700(A)(2).

### 12 III. Analysis

13 Salamirad Morrow represents that Defendants are no longer paying their legal bills, and that  
14 Murray has informed the firm that Defendants are unable to pay anything to the firm. (Salamirad  
15 Decl. ¶ 5.) Courts in similar circumstances have held that failure or inability to pay for legal  
16 services constitutes good cause for withdrawal. *See, e.g., Orange Cnty. Elec. Indus. Health &*  
17 *Welfare Trust Fund v. Moore Elec. Contracting*, No. 11-CV-00942-LHK, 2011 WL 2940311, at \*2  
18 (N.D. Cal. July 20, 2011); *j2 Global Commc’ns, Inc. v. Blue Jay, Inc.*, No. C 08-4254 PJH, 2009 WL  
19 464768, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 24, 2009). Further, Defendants’ principal has instructed the firm to  
20 stop representing Defendants in this matter, (Salamirad Decl. ¶ 3), thus rendering it “unreasonably  
21 difficult” for Salamirad Morrow to “carry out the employment effectively.” *See* Cal. R. Prof.  
22 Conduct 3-700(C)(1)(d). Salamirad Morrow provided timely notice of the motion to withdraw to  
23 Defendants, and Defendants do not object, but instead seek a continuance so that they may obtain  
24 counsel.

---

27 <sup>2</sup> Rule 3-700(c) provides that an attorney whose employment has “terminated” shall “  
28 release to the client, at the request of the client, all the client papers and property” and “[p]romptly  
refund any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.”

1 On this record, the court finds good cause to allow Salamirad Morrow to withdraw as  
2 Defendants' counsel. The motion is granted on the condition that Salamirad Morrow continue to  
3 serve on Defendants all papers from Plaintiffs and the court until Defendants file substitutions of  
4 counsel as provided by Civil Local Rule 11-5(b). Given that Defendants have been on notice since  
5 at least February 7, 2014 that they may only appear in this case through an attorney, (*see* Stepan  
6 Decl, ¶ 4), Defendants' request for a 90 day continuance is denied. The court continues all deadlines  
7 in this case, including Defendants' March 20, 2014 deadline to file an opposition to Plaintiffs'  
8 pending motion for summary judgment, by 30 days to allow Defendants to obtain new counsel. *See*  
9 N.D. Civ. L.R. 3-9(b) ("A corporation, unincorporated association, partnership or other such entity  
10 may appear only through a member of the bar of this Court."). Defendants shall file substitutions of  
11 counsel by **no later than April 18, 2014**. Defendants' opposition to the motion for summary  
12 judgment, along with a joint statement of undisputed facts (*see* Docket No. 68), is due by May 2,  
13 2014. Any reply is due by May 9, 2014. The court will conduct a hearing on the motion for  
14 summary judgment on **May 22, 2014 at 11:00 a.m.**

15 If Defendants do not obtain new counsel by April 18, 2014, the court may order Defendants'  
16 Answers stricken.

17 **IV. Conclusion**

18 For the foregoing reasons, Salamirad Morrow's motion to withdraw as counsel for  
19 Defendants is granted. Defendants shall file substitutions of counsel by April 18, 2014.

20  
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22  
23 Dated: March 18, 2014

