

1                                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                                    FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3  
4 KAMAJI OM, et al.,

No. C 12-5498 CW

5                                    Plaintiffs,

ORDER CONCERNING

6                                    v.

PLAINTIFFS'

RESPONSES TO

7 OFFICER MELERO, et al.

DEFENDANTS' MOTION

TO DISMISS

8                                    Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

9  
10                                    On November 29, 2012, Defendants, Vickie Virk and several  
11 employees of the City of Berkeley, moved to dismiss this action  
12 for insufficient service, insufficient service of process, failure  
13 to state a claim, and failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil  
14 Procedure 8. Plaintiffs, Kamaji Om, Krsnaya Om, and Sukia Tara  
15 Aushadhalay, all proceeding pro se, failed to file a timely  
16 response to the motion. Civil L.R. 7-3(a). All case-related mail  
17 that has been sent to Plaintiffs since November 19, 2012 has been  
18 returned as undeliverable.

19                                    Within seven days of the date of this Order, Plaintiffs shall  
20 respond to Defendants' motion. Defendants shall reply seven days  
21 after Plaintiffs' response is filed. Defendants' motion will be  
22 decided on the papers.

23                                    Plaintiffs' failure to comply with this Order will result in  
24 the dismissal of their claims against Defendants for failure to  
25 prosecute.

26                                    IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: 1/14/2013

28   
\_\_\_\_\_  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge