

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 KAMAJI OM, KRSNAYA OM, and SUKLA  
4 TARA AUSHADHALAY,

5 Plaintiffs,

6 v.

7 OFFICER MELERO, et al.,

8 Defendants.

No. C 12-5498 CW

ORDER DISMISSING  
CASE FOR FAILURE  
TO PROSECUTE

9  
10 On February 27, 2013, the Court issued an order dismissing  
11 Plaintiffs' claims against City Defendants with prejudice. Docket  
12 No. 41. In that order, the Court gave Plaintiffs twenty-one days  
13 to amend their claims against Defendant Vickie Virk and to "show  
14 good cause why their claims against Virk should not be dismissed  
15 for failure to complete timely service as required by Federal Rule  
16 of Civil Procedure 4(m)." Id. at 10. The Court also warned  
17 Plaintiffs that "failure to comply with the instructions in this  
18 order may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to  
19 prosecute." Id. at 10-11.

20 Because Plaintiffs have failed to file an amended complaint  
21 and have not shown good cause why their claims against Virk should  
22 survive, the Court hereby DISMISSES their claims against Virk with  
23 prejudice. The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close  
24 the file.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26  
27 Dated: 4/16/2013

28  
  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge