

1 PAMELA Y. PRICE, ESQ. (STATE BAR NO. 107713)  
 PRICE AND ASSOCIATES  
 2 A Professional Law Corporation  
 901 Clay Street  
 3 Oakland, CA 94607  
 Telephone: (510) 452-0292  
 4 Facsimile: (510) 452-5625  
 E-mail: pamelaprice@pypesq.com  
 5

6 SIMONA A. FARRISE, LL.M (STATE BAR NO. 171708)  
 CARLA V. MINNARD, ESQ. (STATE BAR NO. 176015)  
 FARRISE FIRM, P.C.  
 7 901 Clay Street  
 Oakland, CA 94607  
 8 Telephone: (800) 748-6186  
 Facsimile: (510) 588-4536  
 9 E-mail: cminnard@farriselaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 LANCE LAVERDURE and  
 11 MARGARET SO

12  
 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 15

17	LANCE LAVERDURE and MARGARET SO,	)	NO. 4:12-CV-05671 DMR
18	Plaintiffs,	)	<b>STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]</b>
19	v.	)	<b>ORDER TO EXTEND TIME</b>
20	BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT, CITY OF	)	Date: October 10, 2013
21	OAKLAND, JEFF ISRAEL, et al.,	)	Time: 11:00 am
22	Defendants.	)	Judge: Honorable Donna M. Ryu

23 **IT IS STIPULATED** by and between Plaintiffs LANCE LAVERDURE and MARGARET  
 24 SO (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendants ANISA McNACK, Y. JOSEPH, ANDY ALKIRE, and MARK  
 25 MACAULAY (“Defendants”), through their respective counsel, that the time within which  
 26 Plaintiffs may file their Opposition to Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6)  
 27 is extended an additional two (2) days to August 22, 2013. Parties also stipulate Defendants’ time  
 28 to reply is extended two (2) days to September 5, 2013.

1 Parties previously agreed to extend Plaintiffs' due date for Opposition from August 12, 2013 to  
2 August 20, 2013. Parties also previously agreed to extend Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs'  
3 Opposition from August 19, 2013 to September 3, 2013.

4 Good cause exists for additional time due to the unavailability of Plaintiffs' counsel. Plaintiffs'  
5 counsel was out of the country on pre-scheduled vacation from July 28, 2013 to August 11, 2013.

6 Thereafter Plaintiffs' counsel has had depositions throughout California as well as other  
7 commitments requiring her to be out of the area. Below reflects the new stipulation between  
8 parties:  
9

EVENT	CURRENT DATE	PROPOSED DATE
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6)	August 20, 2013	August 22, 2013
Defendants' Reply	September 3, 2013	September 5, 2013

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 Dated: August 20, 2013

PRICE AND ASSOCIATES

/s/ Pamela Y. Price

PAMELA Y. PRICE, Attorneys for Plaintiffs

21  
22  
23  
24  
25 Dated: August 20, 2013

/s/ Dale Allen

DALE ALLEN, Attorneys for Defendants

BART

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore, **IT IS**

**HEREBY ORDERED** that the Court approves the Stipulation and proposed hearing dates as

follows:

EVENT	CURRENT DATE	PROPOSED DATE
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6)	August 20, 2013	August 22, 2013
Defendants' Reply	September 3, 2013	September 5, 2013

Dated: August 21, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. DONNA M. RYU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT