

1 John Briscoe [CSBN 53223]
 Lawrence S. Bazel [CSBN 114641]
 2 Peter S. Prows [CSBN 257819]
 BRISCOE IVESTER & BAZEL LLP
 3 155 Sansome Street, Suite 700
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 4 Phone: 415.402.2700
 Fax: 415.398.5630
 5 E-mail: jbriscoe@briscoelaw.net; lbazel@briscoelaw.net;
 pprows@briscoelaw.net

6 Ryan R. Waterman [CSBN 229485]
 7 STOEL RIVES LLP
 12255 El Camino Real, Suite 100
 8 San Diego, CA 92130
 Phone: 858.794.4100
 9 Fax: 858.794.4101
 Email: rrwaterman@stoel.com

10 Zachary Walton [CSBN 181041]
 11 SSL LAW FIRM LLP
 575 Market Street, Suite 2700
 12 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Phone: 415.243.2685
 13 Email: zack@sslfirm.com

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs DRAKES BAY OYSTER COMPANY and KEVIN LUNNY

15
 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 OAKLAND DIVISION

18 DRAKES BAY OYSTER COMPANY *et al.*

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 S.M.R. JEWELL, in her official capacity as
 Secretary, U.S. Department of the Interior, *et al.*

22 Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-06134-YGR

**JOINT STATUS UPDATE;
 STIPULATION;
 [PROPOSED] ORDER**

Date: March 3, 2014

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Court: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers,
 Oakland Courthouse 5 – 2nd Floor

1 **JOINT STATUS UPDATE**

2 On February 4, 2013, this Court issued an Order Denying Plaintiffs’ Motion for
3 Preliminary Injunction (Doc. #89).

4 On February 6, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal from that Order (Doc. #90).

5 On February 25, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued an Order, inter alia, granting
6 Plaintiffs an injunction pending appeal and expediting the calendaring of that appeal.

7 On September 3, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued an opinion affirming this
8 Court’s Order Denying Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

9 On September 30, this Court entered an Order (Doc. #113), inter alia, staying Defendants’
10 response to the Amended Complaint (Doc. #44) pending the filing by Plaintiffs of a Second
11 Amended Complaint, and giving Plaintiffs until not more than 28 days following the issuance of
12 the mandate by the Ninth Circuit to file a Second Amended Complaint.

13 On October 18, Plaintiffs filed a petition for rehearing en banc in the Ninth Circuit.

14 On November 12, this Court entered an Order (Doc. #117) that provided in pertinent part:

15 If the Ninth Circuit has not ruled on Plaintiffs’ petition for
16 rehearing en banc by five (5) business days prior to March 3, the
17 parties shall submit a joint status update so informing the Court.
The parties may also contact the Courtroom Deputy to advance the
Case Management Conference date, if necessary.

18 On January 14, 2014, the Ninth Circuit issued an Order, inter alia, denying Plaintiffs’
19 petition for rehearing en banc.

20 On January 27, the Ninth Circuit issued an Order granting Plaintiffs’ motion to stay the
21 issuance of the mandate for 90 days pending the filing and disposition of a petition for writ of
22 certiorari with the United States Supreme Court.

23 Rule 41(d)(2)(B) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure provides that if a party files
24 a petition for writ of certiorari, and so notifies the circuit clerk in writing, within the period of the
25 stay of the mandate, then “the stay continues until the Supreme Court’s final disposition.”
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STIPULATION

Having met and conferred through counsel by telephone, Plaintiffs and Defendants stipulate that the Court should enter an order continuing the Case Management Conference currently scheduled for March 3, 2014 to a date and time of this Court's convenience following the issuance of the mandate by the Ninth Circuit. If the mandate has not issued by five (5) business days prior to the continued conference, the parties shall submit a joint status update by that date so informing the Court.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs and Defendants respectfully request that the Court approve this stipulation by entering the Order proposed below.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of February, 2014.

MELINDA L. HAAG (CSBN 132612)
United States Attorney
ALEX G. TSE (CSBN 152348)
Chief, Civil Division
ROBERT G. DREHER
Acting Assistant Attorney General

By: /s/ Stephen M. Macfarlane (per authorization)
STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE (N.Y. Bar No.
2456440)
Senior Attorney
JOSEPH T. MATHEWS (Colo. Bar No. 42865)
E. BARRETT ATWOOD (D.C. Bar. No. 478539)
Trial Attorneys

Attorneys for Defendants

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BRISCOE IVESTER & BAZEL LLP

By: /s/ Peter Prows
PETER PROWS
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Good cause having been shown, the Stipulation is APPROVED. The Case Management Conference previously scheduled for March 3, 2014 is continued to July 7, 2014 at 2:00 p.m., in Courtroom 1. If the Ninth Circuit has not issued its mandate prior to five (5) business days prior to the continued conference, the parties shall submit a joint status update so informing the Court. The parties may also contact the Courtroom Deputy to advance the Case Management Conference date, if necessary.

Dated: February 26, 2014



HONORABLE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE