

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 AAREN WILLIAMS **STRIPLIN**,

No. C 12-6418 CW

5 Plaintiff,

AMENDED ORDER
DENYING
APPLICATION TO
PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS

6 v.

7 SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

8 Defendant.

9 _____/

10
11 Plaintiff Aaren Williams has applied to proceed in forma
12 pauperis. The matter was decided on the papers. Having
13 considered all of the papers filed by Plaintiff, the Court DENIES
14 the application.

15 The Ninth Circuit has indicated that leave to proceed in
16 forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) is properly granted
17 only when the plaintiff has demonstrated poverty and has presented
18 a claim that is not factually or legally frivolous within the
19 definition of § 1915(e)(2)(B). See O'Loughlin v. Doe, 920 F.2d
20 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990); Tripati v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust, 821
21 F.2d 1368, 1370 (9th Cir. 1987). Thus, the court "may deny leave
22 to proceed in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the
23 face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or
24 without merit." Id. (quoting Reece v. Washington, 310 F.2d 139,
25 140 (9th Cir. 1962); Smart v. Heinze, 347 F.2d 114, 116 (9th Cir.
26 1965). An in forma pauperis complaint is frivolous if it has "no
27 arguable basis in fact or law." O'Loughlin, 920 F.2d at 617;
28

1 Tripati, 821 F.2d at 1379; Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228
2 (9th Cir. 1984).

3 The Supreme Court has held that dismissal prior to service
4 under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) is appropriate where no legal
5 interest is implicated, i.e., the claim is premised on a meritless
6 legal theory, or clearly lacking any factual basis. See Neitzke
7 v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 324 (1989). Section 1915(e)(2)(B)
8 accords judges the unusual power to pierce the veil of the
9 complaint's factual allegations and dismiss those claims premised
10 on factual contentions that are clearly baseless. See Denton v.
11 Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 32 (1992). To pierce the veil of the
12 complaint's factual allegations means that a court is not bound,
13 as it usually is when making a determination based solely on the
14 pleadings, to accept without question the truth of the plaintiff's
15 allegations. But, this initial assessment of the in forma
16 pauperis plaintiff's factual allegations must be weighted in favor
17 of the plaintiff. See id. A frivolousness determination cannot
18 serve as a factfinding process for the resolution of disputed
19 facts, and the complaint may not be dismissed simply because the
20 court finds the plaintiff's allegations unlikely or improbable.
21 See id. A finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when
22 "the facts alleged are 'clearly baseless' . . . a category
23 encompassing allegations that are 'fanciful,' 'fantastic,' and
24 'delusional,'" id. (citations omitted), or "rise to the level of
25 the irrational or the wholly incredible, whether or not there are
26 judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them." Id.


27 Because a dismissal pursuant to § 1915(e)(2)(B) is not a
28 dismissal on the merits, but rather an exercise of the court's

1 discretion under the in forma pauperis statute, the dismissal does
2 not prejudice the filing of a paid complaint making the same
3 allegations. See id.

4 The Court finds that Plaintiff's complaint is frivolous.
5 Plaintiff names "Social Security Income/Supplimental [sic]
6 Security Income" as Defendant in this case. Plaintiff complains
7 both that he was "given \$900+ at first . . . reduced to around
8 \$800.00 plus when I complained" and also that his claim for SSI
9 was denied and he was not given the opportunity to appeal the
10 denial. Plaintiff seeks \$1.5 million, back pay to the date he
11 applied for SSI in 2005, and an increase in his SSI payments to
12 \$9,000 monthly. Because Plaintiff's complaint is frivolous and
13 without merit, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's request to proceed in
14 forma pauperis.

15
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17
18 Dated: 12/28/2012

19 
20 CLAUDIA WILKEN
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28